## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005	CG Docket No. 05-338
Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991	CG Docket No. 02-278

Declaration of Scott Z. Zimmermann in Support of Edward Simon's Comments on the Petition for Waiver of the Commission's Rule on Opt-Out Notices on Fax Advertisements Filed by Medversant Technologies, LLC

- 1. I am an attorney of law duly licensed by the State Bar of California. I am cocounsel with Payne & Fears LLP representing Edward Simon ("Simon"). I have personal
  knowledge of the facts set forth herein, except as to those stated on information and belief
  and, as to those, I am informed and believe them to be true. If called as a witness, I could
  and would competently testify to the matters stated herein. I make this declaration in
  support of Simon's Comments on the Petition for Waiver of the Commission's Rule on
  Opt-Out Notices on Fax Advertisements Filed by Medversant Technologies, LLC
  ("Medversant")
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Simon's Complaint filed on September 16, 2014, in the Los Angeles Superior Court. Subsequently Defendants removed the action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The action was assigned to Judge Beverly Reid O'Connell and given Case No. 2:14-cv-8022 BRO (JCx). Exhibit A is the operative complaint in the action.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Parties' Initial Rule 26(f) Report filed in the Simon litigation on January 26, 2015, as Dkt. 25.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of Defendant

  Healthways WholeHealth Networks Inc.'s responses to Simon's Interrogatories served in the

  Simon litigation.

- Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of Medversant's responses to Simon's Interrogatories served in the Simon litigation.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of a form of Healthways WholeHealth Networks Inc.'s Participating Practitioner Agreement that I received from Simon.
- I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed February 9, 2015, at Santa Monica, California.

Scott Z. Zimmermann



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Law Offices of Scott Z. Zimmermann Scott Z. Zimmermann, SBN 78694 szimm@zkcf.com 601 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2610 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 452-6509 Facsimile: (213) 622-2171  Payne & Fears LLP C. Darryl Cordero, SBN 126689 cdc@paynefears.com Eric M. Kennedy, SBN 228393 emk@paynefears.com 801 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1150 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 439-9911 Facsimile: (213) 439-9922  Attorneys for Plaintiff	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles  SEP 1 6 2014  Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By Myrna Beltran, Deputy	
11	Edward Simon, DC, and for all others similarly situated		
12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
15		D0 E E 7 7 7 9	
16	EDWARD SIMON, DC, individually	BC 5 5 7 7 7 2 Case No.	
17	and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION	
18	Plaintiff,	Complaint for Violations of the Junk	
19	HEALTHWAYS, INC., a Delaware	Fax Prevention Act (47 U.S.C. § 227) and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200); Demand for Jury Trial; Exhibit	
20	corporation; HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC,,	[CAL. CIV. PROC. CODE §§ 382, 410;	
21	a Delaware corporation; MEDVERSANT TECHOLOGIES,	CAL. R. CT. 3.760]	
22	L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 1,000,		
23	inclusive,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27		ntiff"), brings this action on behalf of	
28	himself and all others similarly situated, as	nd alleges:	

#### Introduction

1. More than two decades ago the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ("TCPA") was enacted into law. The law responded to widespread complaints by American consumers and businesses about the cost, disruption and nuisance imposed by junk faxes. The law prohibited the transmission of facsimile advertising without first obtaining the express invitation or permission of the recipient. Despite its passage, consumers and businesses continued to be besieged with junk faxes. In 2005 Congress responded by strengthening the law by amending the TCPA through the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005 (collectively "JFPA" or the "Act"). As amended, the law requires a sender to include on its fax advertisements a clear and conspicuous notice that discloses to recipients their right to stop future faxes and explains how to exercise that right.

2. Plaintiff brings this class action to recover damages for and to enjoin junk faxing by Defendants in violation of the JFPA and the regulations of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") promulgated under the Act. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that Defendants have, commencing within four years preceding the filing of this action, transmitted fax advertisements in violation of the JFPA and FCC regulations. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the facsimile transmission of an advertisement on August 13, 2014, sent to Plaintiff's telephone facsimile machine via Plaintiff's facsimile telephone number, a true and correct copy of which advertisement is attached as Exhibit 1 hereto.

Unless otherwise noted, all statutory references are to this statute in effect since 2005.

- 3. Subject Matter Jurisdiction, Standing and Venue. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter and Plaintiff has standing to seek relief in this Court because § (b)(3) of the Act authorizes commencement of a private action to obtain statutory damages in the minimum amount of \$500 for each violation of the JFPA and/or FCC regulations, to obtain injunctive relief, or for both such actions. Venue is proper in this Court because the cause of action asserted in this Complaint arose in this County by reason of Defendants' transmission of junk faxes to this County, including to Plaintiff.
- 4. **Personal Jurisdiction.** This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because they each (i) regularly conduct business within the state of California; (ii) directed the fax advertisements that are the subject of this Complaint to recipients within the state of California; and (iii) committed at least some of their violations of the JFPA and/or FCC regulations within the state of California.

#### The Parties

- 5. Individual Plaintiff/Class Representative. Plaintiff Edward Simon, DC, is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a chiropractor, doing business within this County at premises located in North Hollywood, and the subscriber of the facsimile telephone number, (818) 761-8705, to which junk fax advertisements, including Exhibit 1, were sent by Defendants.
- 6. Defendant Healthways, Inc. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that Defendant Healthways, Inc. ("Healthways Parent") is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware and a public company trading on NASDAQ.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1 7. Defendant Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that Defendant 2 3 Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc. ("Healthways") is, and at all times 4 relevant hereto was, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Healthways Parent. 5 6 7 8. Defendant Medversant Technologies, L.L.C. Plaintiff is informed 8 and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that Defendant 9 Medversant Technologies, L.L.C. ("Medversant") is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state 10 11 of California, with its principal offices located within this County. 12 13 9. 14 15
  - Defendant Does 1 Through 1,000. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of Does 1 through 1,000, inclusive, and therefore sues such defendants by their fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of the fictitiously named defendants when they are ascertained.
  - As used herein, the term "Defendants" refers, jointly and severally, to 10. Defendants Healthways Parent, Healthways, Medversant and Does 1through 1,000, inclusive, and the term "Defendant" refers singularly to any of the Defendants.

# The JFPA's Prohibition Against Junk Faxing

By the early 1990s, advertisers had exploited facsimile telephone 11. technology to blanket the country with junk fax advertisements. This practice imposed tremendous disruption, annoyance, and cost on American consumers and businesses. Among other things, junk faxes tie up recipients' telephone lines and

facsimile machines, misappropriate and convert recipients' fax paper and toner, and require recipients to sort through faxes to separate legitimate faxes from junk faxes. and to discard the latter. Congress responded to the problem by passing the TCPA. The law was enacted to eradicate "the explosive growth in unsolicited facsimile advertising, or 'junk fax.'" H.R. Rep. No. 102-317 (1991).

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

12. The original law did not achieve its objectives, however. In the decade following the law's enactment, however, American consumers and businesses continued to be "besieged" by junk faxes because senders refused to honor requests by recipients to stop.<sup>2</sup> Congress responded by strengthening the law by amending it through the JFPA. The JFPA, for the first time, required senders to disclose on their fax advertisements that recipients have the right to stop future faxes and to explain how they can exercise that right (hereinafter collectively the "Opt-Out Notice Requirements").3

15 16

# Defendants' Illegal Junk Fax Program

Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief

18

13.

17

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

2.7 28 Federal Communications Commission, Report and Order on Reconsideration of Rules and Regulations Implementing the TCPA of 1991, 29 Comm. Reg. 830 ¶ 186 (2003).

to Exhibit 1, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and

alleges, that Exhibit 1 and the fax advertisements that are the subject of this

JFPA within their four corners and as part of Defendants' overall marketing

Complaint were designed as, intended as, and constituted advertisements under the

activities promoting their property, goods and services. For example, with respect

The Opt-Out Notice Requirements are contained in § 227 (b)(1)(C)(iii), (b)(2)(D) and (b)(E), the FCC's regulations found at 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iii)-(vi) and the FCC's 2006 order. See Federal Communications Commission, Report and Order and Third Order on Reconsideration, 21 FCC Rcd. 3787 ¶ 26 (2006).

belief alleges, that Exhibit 1 is an advertisement within the ambit of the JFPA and FCC regulations because, *inter alia*, it promotes and advertises the following: (1) the trademark "Healthways" owned by Healthways Parent; (2) the national discounted-fee physician network and wellness program operated by Healthways; (3) the commercial availability and qualities of a product/service known as "ProMailSource" on a subscription-fee basis for use within and without the Healthways network and wellness program; (4) the website, promailsource.com (a service, which itself is an advertisement within the ambit of the JFPA and FCC regulations) and invites recipients to visit that website; (5) the trademark "ProMailSource" owned by Medversant; and (6) the "partnership" between Healthways and Medversant with respect to "ProMailSource."

14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that each Defendant is directly and/or vicariously liable for the violations of the JFPA and/or FCC regulations alleged herein because, *inter alia*, it: (i) was a sender of the fax advertisements that are the subject of this Complaint because these advertisements were sent on its behalf and/or its property, goods or services were advertised or promoted in such advertisements; (ii) had involvement in the content, preparation and/or transmission of the fax advertisements; (iii) received and retained the benefits from the fax advertisements in the form of revenue and name and trademark recognition and promotion; and (iv) had actual notice of the unlawful activity constituting the violations alleged herein and failed to take steps to prevent the same.

15. Plaintiff did not give Defendants prior express invitation or permission as defined in the JFPA (§ (a)(5)) to send to him Exhibit 1 to this Complaint or any other fax advertisements. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that Defendants sent or caused Exhibit 1 and other fax

advertisements to be sent without obtaining prior express invitation or permission from other recipients. In sending these faxes, or causing them to be sent, Defendants also failed to include the disclosures required by the Opt-Out Notice Requirements, in further violation of the JFPA and FCC regulations. Indeed, Exhibit 1 has no opt-out notice whatsoever.

# **Class Action Allegations**

16. Class Action. This action is properly maintainable as a class action because (a) there is an ascertainable class; and (b) there is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of fact and law involved.

17. Class Definition. The Plaintiff Class consists of all persons and entities that were at the time subscribers of telephone numbers to which material that discusses, describes, or promotes any of Defendants' respective property, goods or services (whether separately or in combination with the property, goods or services of any other Defendant) was sent via facsimile transmission, commencing within four years preceding the filing of this action, including, without limitation, Exhibit 1 to this Complaint ("Plaintiff Class"). Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition following completion of class certification discovery.

18. Class Size/Ascertainability. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that the number of persons and entities of the Plaintiff Class is sufficiently numerous such that joinder of all members is impracticable due to the class's size and due to the relatively small potential monetary recovery for each Plaintiff Class member, in comparison to the time and costs associated with joinder in the litigation on an individual basis. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that the

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

identity of all class members is readily ascertainable from records and other documents maintained by Defendants and/or third parties.

- 19. Community of Interest. There is a community of interest in the questions of fact and law involved because there are predominant questions of fact and law (as more particularly alleged in paragraph 21) and because Plaintiff's claims are typical of claims held by members of the Plaintiff Class, and Plaintiff and its counsel can adequately represent the Plaintiff Class (as more particularly alleged in paragraph 20).
- Typicality and Adequacy of Representation. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the Plaintiff Class because they were sent fax advertisements by Defendants, have claims under the same statute and FCC regulations and are entitled to the same damages and injunctive relief. The Plaintiff Class will be well represented by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel. Plaintiff appreciates the responsibilities of a class representative and understands the nature and significance of the claims made in this case. Plaintiff can fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class because there is no conflict between his interests and the interests of other class members as it regards this action. Proposed class counsel have the necessary resources, experience (including extensive experience in litigating claims under the TCPA/JFPA) and ability to prosecute this case on a class action basis.
- 21. Common Questions of Law and Fact Are Predominant. Questions of law and fact common to the class predominate over questions affecting only individual class members.
  - Common Questions of Fact. This case presents numerous A.

questions of fact that are common to all class members claims. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that the case arises out of a common nucleus of facts and that Defendants have engaged in the same general course of conduct vis-à-vis class members, and all class members' damages arise out of that conduct.

B. Common Questions of Law. The case presents numerous common questions of law, including, but not limited to:

- whether the faxes are advertisements within the ambit of the
   JFPA and FCC regulations;
- (2) who were the senders of the faxes that are the subject of this Complaint;
- (3) whether and to what extent Defendants are vicariously liable for each other's acts or omissions that violate the JFPA and FCC regulations;
- (4) Defendants' mode and method of obtaining the telephone numbers to which the faxes that are the subject of this Complaint were sent and whether that mode and method complied with the requirements of § (b)(1)(C)(ii) and FCC regulations;
- (5) whether Defendants complied with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements of the JFPA and FCC regulations, and the legal consequences of the failure to comply with those requirements;

1	(6) what constitutes a knowing or willful violation of the JFPA		
2	within the meaning of § (b)(3);		
3			
4	(7) whether Defendants committed knowing and/or willful violations		
5	of the JFPA and/or FCC regulations;		
6			
7	(8) whether damages should be increased on account of Defendants'		
8	knowing and/or willful violations of the Act and/or FCC regulations and, if so, by		
9	what amount; and		
10			
11	(9) whether injunctive relief as prayed for in the Complaint should		
12	be entered.		
13			
14	22. Appropriateness and Manageability of Class Adjudication. A class		
15	action is an appropriate method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this matter		
16	for several reasons:		
17			
18	A. Prosecuting separate actions by individual class members would		
19	create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications that would establish		
20	incompatible standards of conduct for Defendants.		
21			
22	B. Because Defendants have acted on grounds that apply generally		
23	to the Plaintiff Class, injunctive relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole.		
24			
25	C. Common questions of law and fact, including those identified in		
26	paragraph 21, predominate over questions affecting only individual members.		
27			

- D. Absent class certification there is a possibility of numerous individual cases and, therefore, class adjudication will conserve judicial resources.
- E. Most members of the Plaintiff Class are not likely to join or bring an individual action due to, among other reasons, the small amount to be recovered relative to the time, effort and expense necessary to join or bring an individual action. Because the statutory minimum damage is \$500 per violation and the JFPA does not authorize an award of attorneys' fees to a successful plaintiff, individual action to remedy Defendants' violations would be uneconomical. As a practical matter, the claims of the vast majority of the Plaintiff Class are not likely to be redressed absent class certification.
- F. Equity dictates that all persons who stand to benefit from the relief sought herein should be subject to the lawsuit and, hence, subject to an order spreading the costs of litigation among the class members in relationship to the benefits received.
- G. Class adjudication will serve to educate class members about their rights under the Act and FCC regulations to stop unwanted junk faxes, a particularly important public purpose given Defendants' failure to disclose to recipients their right to stop future fax advertisements and how to exercise that right, in violation of the JFPA and FCC regulations.
- H. This case is manageable as a class action because, among other things:
- (i) Defendants and/or third parties maintain records that will enable Plaintiff to readily ascertain class members and the number of facsimile

1 transmissions at issue and establish liability and damages. 2 3 (ii) liability and damages can be established for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class with the same common proofs. 4 5 6 statutory damages are provided for in the Act and are the 7 same for all members of the Plaintiff Class and can be calculated with mathematical 8 certainty. 9 10 a class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims, and it will foster economies of time, effort and expense. 11 12 a class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions 13 (v) 14 concerning Defendants' faxing policies and practices. 15 16 (vi) as a practical matter, the claims of the Plaintiff Class are likely to go unredressed absent class certification. 17 18 19 Cause of Action for Violations of the JFPA and FCC Regulations 20 (Against All Defendants) 21 22 23. **Incorporation**. Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class reassert and reallege 23 the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 22, above. 24 25 24. Defendants' Violations of the Act and FCC Regulations. 26 Commencing within four years preceding the filing of this action, including, without limitation, on August 13, 2014, Defendants violated the JFPA and FCC regulations 27 28 by, among other things, sending unsolicited advertisements and/or advertisements

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

that violate the Opt-Out Notice Requirements from telephone facsimile machines, computers, or other devices to telephone facsimile machines of Plaintiff and members of the Plaintiff Class, within the United States.

- 25. Private Right of Action. Under § (b)(3), Plaintiff has a private right of action to bring this claim for damages and injunctive relief on behalf of himself and on behalf of the Plaintiff Class to redress Defendants' violations of the Act and FCC regulations.
- 26. Injunctive Relief. Plaintiff is entitled have preliminary and permanent injunctions issue to: (1) prohibit Defendants, their respective employees, agents, representatives, contractors, affiliates and all persons and entities acting in concert with them, from committing further violations of the Act and FCC regulations, including, without limitation, the transmission of any unsolicited advertisements, or of any advertisements that do not comply with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements; (2) require Defendants to deliver to Plaintiff all records of fax advertisements sent commencing within four years of the filing of this action, including all content sent via facsimile, fax lists, and transmission records; (3) require Defendants to adopt ongoing educational, training and monitoring programs to ensure compliance with the JFPA and FCC regulations, and limiting facsimile advertising activity to personnel who have undergone such training; (4) require Defendants to provide written notice to all persons to whom Defendants sent, via facsimile transmission, advertisements in violation the Act and/or FCC regulations, warning such persons that the faxing of unsolicited advertisements or advertisements that do not comply with the Opt-Out Notice Requirements violates the JFPA and that they should not be led or encouraged in any way by Defendant's violations of the Act and/or FCC regulations to send advertisements of their own that violate the Act and/or FCC regulations; and (5) require Defendants to conspicuously place on the homepage of

their websites the warnings contained in subsection 4 of this paragraph.

27. **Damages.** Plaintiff and members of the Plaintiff Class are entitled to recover statutory damages in the minimum amount of \$500 for each violation by Defendants of the JFPA and/or FCC regulations, as expressly authorized by § (b)(3)(B). In addition, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon such information and belief alleges, that Defendants committed their violations willfully and/or knowingly and that the amount of statutory damages should be increased up to three times, also authorized by § (b)(3)(B).

# Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them:

- 1. Certifying a class described in paragraph 17 of the Complaint;
- 2. Appointing Plaintiff as representative for the Plaintiff Class and awarding Plaintiff an incentive award for his efforts as class representative;
  - 3. Appointing Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Plaintiff Class;
- 4. Awarding of statutory damages in the amount of \$500 for each violation of the Act and/or FCC regulations and the trebling of such statutory damages, in an amount not less than \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, according to proof;

1	5.	5. Entering preliminary and permanent injunctions requested in paragraph		
2	26 of the Complaint;			
3				
4	6.	Ordering payment of Plaintiff's costs of litigation, including, without		
5	limitation, c	costs of suit and attorneys' fees, spread among the members of the		
6	Plaintiff Class in relation to the benefits received by the Plaintiff Class;			
7				
8	7.	For pre-judgment interest;		
9				
10	8.	For such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and		
11	proper.			
12				
13		Jury Demand		
14				
15	Plaint	iff demands trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.		
16				
17	DATED: S	eptember 5, 2014 LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT Z. ZIMMERMANN and		
18		PAYNE & FEARS LLP		
19				
20		By: Seother		
21		SCOTT Z. ZIMMERMANN Attorneys for Plaintiff Edward Simon, DC, and for all others similarly situated		
22		all others similarly situated		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

# Exhibit 1





August 13, 2014

#### RE: Healthways HIPAA Compliance Announcement

Healthways is excited to announce our partnership with a HIPAA compliant email solution. PraMailSource\*\* is an email service, but unlike common email services, it is secure (cannot be hacked and protects the privacy of our mutual offices and patients). ProMailSource\*\* complies with HIPAA Privacy Rules (now being diligently enforced) that apply to all practitioners who treat patients.

This solution allows you to communicate PHI (Protected Health Information) via email. You will be able to communicate with Healthways, your patients, health plans, attorneys, and anyone you currently share PHI with.

#### How will ProMoilSource benefit you?

- You can use ProMailSource™ to communicate securely with all your patients and other healthcare organizations. Your patients will appreciate your concern for their privacy.
- Reduce risk of fines for HIPAA violations of up to \$1,500,000.

Healthways will be utilizing ProMailSource<sup>re</sup> to communicate with our practitioners for Educational Materials, Claims Management Questions, Changes to network policies, Practitioner credentialing updates, Practitioner enrollment questions and more.

Healthways will continue to offer all of our existing communication options. We do find a HIPAA compliant email solution to be the most effective method to share and trade information with our practitioners.

#### How to subscribe to ProMallSourcets

To subscribe, visit https://gromailsource.com/healthways or call 1-855-252-4314.

As ProMailSource™ is a solution that is applicable beyond Healthways there is a cost to subscribe. ProMailSource™ is only \$12.95 per month or an annual subscription of only \$120 per year per mailbox.

As a valued Healthways partner, ProMailSaurce\* has agreed to waive its \$100 implementation fee if you subscribe prior to September 5, 2014.

Sincerely,

MStabelfillt Martie Stabelfeldt

Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.

Vice President, Physical Medicine Operations

Parties' Initial Rule 26(f) Report

Case No. CV 14-7997 BRO

# 2

# 3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27 28

#### 1. Statement of the Case

**Plaintiff's Statement:** This is a putative class action alleging that Defendants violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, as amended by the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005, 47 U.S.C. § 227, and regulations promulgated thereunder by the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") (collectively "TCPA"), by sending, via facsimile transmission, unsolicited advertisements and advertisements that did not comply with the TCPA's opt-out notice requirements. The class period commenced on September 16, 2010 (four years prior to the filing of the action, consistent with the applicable statute of limitations contained in 28 U.S.C. § 1658).

HWHN and Medversant have acknowledged in connection with Rule 26(f) conferences successfully transmitting via facsimile approximately 5,000 and 36,000 transmissions, respectively, of the type received by Plaintiff on August 13, 2014, regarding, among other things, "ProMailSource" (discussed in more detail in Plaintiff's Statement on Legal Issues). Plaintiff alleges that the ProMailSource fax he received violated the TCPA because (1) it was unsolicited, including that he did not give any "prior express permission" via his HWHN "Participating Practitioner Agreement;" and (2) the fax failed to contain any opt-out notice.

Healthways' Statement: HWHN is a wholly owned subsidiary of HWAYS. HWAYS is a health and well-being improvement company. HWHN is a subsidiary of HWAYS that offers physical medicine benefit management to health plans and employer groups.

In order to join HWHN's network of practitioners, a medical care provider

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28

has to fill out and submit to HWHN an application referred to as "Participating Practitioner Agreement" and upon HWHN's approval of the Participating Practitioner Agreement, the applicant becomes a member of HWHN's network of practitioners. The Participating Practitioner Agreement requests contact information, including fax number. Plaintiff completed and signed a Participating Practitioner Agreement and was a member of HWHN's network at the time the relevant faxes were sent.

Sometime before June 2014, Medversant contacted HWHN to inform it of a product/service known as "ProMailSource", which is a HIPAA compliant e-mail communication program. Medversant informed HWHN that the product could be beneficial to the providers in its network. Medversant drafted the initial version of the ProMailSource fax that was eventually sent to Plaintiff. In or around June 2014, HWHN starting sending out the ProMailSource faxes. Thereafter, on July 22, August 13 and August 20, 2014, Medversant transmitted faxes to HWHN's network. Plaintiff alleges that he received one of Medversant's faxes on August 13, 2014.

Healthways deny all material allegations in the complaint and deny that they violated the TCPA. Healthways also deny that Plaintiff or the putative class is entitled to any of the relief requested.

Medversant' Statement: Medversant provides credentialing services and offers communication compliance services to help its customers, like Healthways, and the healthcare providers working within such networks, meet their information security obligations.

In or around June 2014, Healthways began sending announcements to its providers via fax that it would be using ProMailSource, Medversant's new

communication compliance service, and making it available to its providers to use in their own practices. In July, Healthways asked Medversant to transmit such announcements via facsimile to some of its providers. Therefore, on July 22, August 13 and August 20, 2014, Medversant transmitted faxes to providers in the Healthways network, the content of which Medversant was not allowed to alter without permission of Healthways, informing the providers of the new service that Healthways would be using and its availability for use in the providers' practices.

Plaintiff, a chiropractor and a provider in the Healthways network who alleges that he received a fax on August 13, 2014, filed this class action. He alleges the fax was an unsolicited advertisement that violated the TCPA because Defendants did not provide information that would allow him to opt out of certain kinds of faxes.

Medversant denies all material allegations in the complaint, that it has violated the TCPA, that Plaintiff or the putative class is entitled to any of the requested relief, and that Plaintiff has been damaged in any sum or sustained any injury or loss by reason of any act or omission of Medversant. Medversant has petitioned the Federal Communications Commission for retroactive waiver of the opt-out requirements of 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iv). In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, 61 Communications Reg. (P&F) 671 (F.C.C. Oct. 30, 2014).

Please see Medversant's Statements under "Legal Issues" and "Motions" for further information on Medversant's position in the action.

24

25

26

3

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

#### 2. **Subject Matter Jurisdiction**

Plaintiff's Statement: Plaintiff filed this action on September 16, 2014, in Los Angeles County Superior Court. Healthways, joined by Medversant, removed the action to this Court on October 16, 2014. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction of this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction). See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Svcs., LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 742 (2012).

Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins, referenced by Defendants below, is not a TCPA case. It is a FCRA case in which the plaintiff could not show any actual harm; here, Plaintiff suffered identifiable concrete harm when he was sent the August 13 fax, including wasted paper and toner and interference with his telephone line. In any event, the whole notion that Spokeo might affect this case is pure speculation.

Healthways' Statement: Healthways incorporates Medversant's position set forth below.

Medversant's Statement: A third party petition for a writ of certiorari currently pending before the United States Supreme Court may have bearing on the question of whether Plaintiff has standing, and therefore whether the Court has subject matter jurisdiction, in this matter. Plaintiff does not allege any injury in fact. Pending before the Supreme Court of the United States is the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari of Spokeo, Inc., on the question of whether Congress may confer Article III standing upon a plaintiff who suffers no concrete harm, and who therefore could not otherwise invoke the jurisdiction of a federal court, by authorizing a private right of action based on a bare violation of a federal statute. See Spokeo, Inc. v Robins (Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed May 1, 2014). On

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

October 6, 2014 the Supreme Court asked the United States Solicitor General to weigh in on Spokeo's petition. That petition specifically references the TCPA as one of the statutes that would be impacted if the Court grants the petition and finds that there is no subject matter jurisdiction. Medversant therefore reserves the right to argue that the Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction, pending resolution of the Spokeo petition (and, if the Court grants certiorari, of the Spokeo matter).

#### **Legal Issues** 3.

# Plaintiff's Statement:

Below are the major legal issues from Plaintiff's perspective:

Advertisement Issue: On August 13, 2014, Plaintiff received a fax, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Complaint. Among other things, the August 13 fax promotes the commercial qualities and availability of an email service "ProMailSource" (e.g., "it is secure (cannot be hacked and protects the privacy of our mutual offices and patients)") and seeks to have recipients subscribe to "ProMailSource" for "only" \$12.95 per month or for "only" \$120 per year. The fax announces a "partnership" between HWHN and the distributor of "ProMailSource" (Medversant) and promotes HWHN's physician network and wellness program. The fax is signed by a HWHN Vice President. Plaintiff contends that the August 13 fax is an advertisement within the scope of the TCPA. Defendants dispute this contention.

Statutory Defenses: HWHN claims that Plaintiff provided it with his facsimile number via Plaintiff's "Participating Practitioner Agreement" with HWHN. But this does not provide HWHN with a defense. There are only two defenses under the TCPA: (1) "prior express invitation or permission" ("PEP" for

6

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

short) and (2) "existing business relationship" ("EBR" for short). § 227(a)(5), (b)(1)(C)(i)-(iii).

The mere act of providing a fax number to another does not constitute PEP under the JFPA. In order to obtain PEP "the recipient must be expressly told that the materials to be sent are advertising materials, and will be sent by fax." Jemiola v. XYZ Corp., 802 N.E.2d 745, 748 (Ohio C.P. 2003). The FCC stresses that PEP "requires that the consumer understand that by providing a fax number, he or she is agreeing to receive faxed advertisements." In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, 18 F.C.C.R. 14014, 14129, ¶ 193 ("FCC 2003 Order"). Similarly, the FCC has ruled that requesting a fax number on an application form provides PEP only if it "include[s] a clear statement indicating that, by providing such fax number, the individual agrees to receive facsimile advertisements from that company of organization." In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, 21 F.C.C.R. 3781, 3807, ¶ 45 ("FCC 2006 Order").

Moreover, the burden on a fax sender to prove PEP is extremely high: "Senders that claim their facsimile advertisements are delivered based on the recipient's prior express permission must be prepared to provide *clear and* convincing evidence of the existence of such permission." FCC 2006 Order ¶ 36, emphasis added; see also FCC 2003 Order ¶ 46.

Accordingly, Simon contends that HWHN will not be able to establish that Simon gave PEP to it. Nor can the other defendants assert a PEP defense because they (1) claim no contact with Simon, and (2) cannot "piggyback" on any PEP given to HWHN. See Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster, Inc., 569 F.3d 946, 955 (9th Cir. 2009) (defendant cannot take advantage of express consent extended to unaffiliated party) and FCC 2006 Order at ¶ 45.

> 7 8

9

6

10 11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

27

28

26

HWHN cannot assert an EBR defense either. The existence of an "established business relationship" alone is not a defense under the TCPA. A defendant's fax must contain a "clear and conspicuous" opt-out notice setting forth a number of mandatory disclosures. § 227(b)(2)(C)((iii), (b)(2)(D) and (b)(E), and the FCC's regulations found at 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(iii). The October 30, 2014, FCC order relied upon by Defendants in connection with their contemplated motion to stay (see Medversant's discussion of Motions infra) reaffirmed the optout notice requirements for EBR-based faxes and is not the subject of Defendants' FCC petitions on which their motion to stay is based.

There is no opt-out notice whatsoever contained on the August 13 fax (and based on discussions with defense counsel, there are no opt-out notices on any of the ProMailSource faxes). Accordingly, regardless of whether Plaintiff had a business relationship with HWHN, it cannot assert an EBR defense.

Because there are no opt-out notices on any of the faxes at issue, neither of the other defendants can assert an EBR. Separately, these defendants did not have a business relationship with Plaintiff and cannot "piggyback" on any EBR between Plaintiff and HWHN. An EBR is not "fungible" according to the FCC: "the EBR exemption applies only to the entity with which the business or residential subscriber has had a 'voluntary two-way communication.' It would not extend to affiliates of that entity." FCC 2006 Order ¶ 20.

Plaintiff's Standing: Defendants deny that Plaintiff has standing. The TCPA confers standing to private persons to sue for violations. § 227(b)(3). Just recently, the Eleventh Circuit re-confirmed that standing for Article III purposes is conferred to a TCPA plaintiff simply by being sent a fax; nothing else is required. Palm Beach Golf Ctr.-Boca, Inc v. Sarris, 771 F.3d 1274 (11th Cir. 2014); see also, Holtzman v. Turza, 728 F.3d 682 (7th Cir. 2013); Chapman v.

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Wagener Equities, Inc., 747 F.3d 489 (7th Cir. 2014). There is no issue that Simon was sent the August 13 fax and he therefore has standing.

Class Certification: The Seventh Circuit recently observed that "[c]lass certification is normal in litigation under §227, because the main questions, such as whether a given fax is an advertisement, are common to all recipients." Ira Holtzman, C.P.A., Ltd. v. Turza, 728 F.3d at 684; see also CE Design Ltd. v. King Architectural Metals, Inc., 271 F.R.D. 595, 600 (N.D. III. 2010) vacated and remanded on other grounds, 637 F.3d 721 (7th Cir. 2011) (class certification granted, observing that "the weight of authority, particularly in this District [Northern District of Illinois]," supports certification of junk fax class actions). Indeed, within the last six years, courts in the Northern District of Illinois alone have certified classes in no fewer than nineteen contested junk fax cases. A legion of courts, including within the Central District—too numerous to cite—agree. See, e.g., Vandervort v. Balboa Cap. Corp., 287 F.R.D. 554, 563 (C.D. Cal. 2012) (Staton Tucker, J.); Critchfield Phys. Therapy v. Taranto Group, Inc., 263 P.3d 767, 778-79 (Kan. 2011); Reliable Money Order, Inc. v. McKnight Sales Co., 281 F.R.D. 327, 339 (E.D. Wis. 2012), aff'd, 704 F.3d 489 (7th Cir. 2013); Kavu, Inc. v. Omnipak Corp., 246 F.R.D. 642, 650 (W.D. Wash. 2007); Karen S. Little, L.L.C. v. Drury Inns, Inc., 306 S.W.3d 577, 584 (Mo. Ct. App. 2010).

Plaintiff contends that the case is well suited for class treatment because the factual and legal issues are common to all putative class members and predominate, and resolving the claims of the putative class via a class action is far superior to individual actions.

Healthways' Statement: Healthways dispute Plaintiff's contentions. Healthways contend that the faxes do not constitute advertisement. Even if the

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

faxes are held to constitute advertisement, Plaintiff and the putative class had an established business relationship with HWHN and gave HWHN prior express invitation or permission to send the faxes. Moreover, Plaintiff's proposed class action formation is improper for several reasons, including: a) the issue of whether Plaintiff (or the putative class members, respectively) consented to HWHN's alleged communication precludes certification; b) whether each member of the class received the fax; and c) whether each recipient of the fax owned the fax machine and therefore has standing to sue.

The key legal issues include, but are not limited to: (1) whether Plaintiff has standing to bring this lawsuit under the TCPA; (2) whether this case should be stayed pending the petitions for a waiver to the FCC; (3) whether the faxes were unsolicited advertisements under the TCPA; (4) whether Plaintiff and/or members of the putative class gave Medversant and/or Healthways express invitation or permission to send faxes; (5) whether Plaintiff and/or members of the putative class had an established business relationship with Medversant and/or Healthways; (6) if there was a violation of the TCPA, which Healthways denies, whether that violation was willful or knowing; (7) whether Plaintiff has stated a class capable of certification; (8) whether Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class; (9) whether the facts alleged support class certification; (10) whether Plaintiff fails to show the existence of a class; (11) whether a class action is the appropriate method for fair and efficient adjudication of this matter; (12) whether the faxes constitute advertisement; and (13) did HWAYS violate the TCPA despite not sending any faxes.

Medversant's Statement: Medversant disputes Plaintiff's positions. Medversant contends that the faxes at issue did not violate the TCPA because they

1

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

did not require an opt out notice since: they were not advertisements but rather informational announcements by Healthways; Plaintiff had an existing business relationship with Healthways and many members of the putative class had an existing business relationship with one or both Defendants; and Plaintiff and the putative class gave prior express invitation or permission to Healthways and/or Medversant to receive faxes. Medversant also maintains that, assuming arguendo that it violated the TCPA (and Medversant denies any such violation), such violation was not willful or knowing. The case Jemiola v. XYZ Corp., 802 N.E.2d 745, 748 (Ohio C.P. 2003), cited by Plaintiff regarding PEP, has no precedential value in the Central District of California.

Medversant further disputes that a class action is the appropriate vehicle for adjudication of this dispute because, among other things, there are unique factual issues to be addressed with respect to each individual member of the putative class, including without limitation (1) which version of the fax was transmitted to each member of the putative class, (2) whether each member of the putative class received a fax, and (3) whether each member of the putative class gave prior express permission or invitation for either or both of the Defendants to transmit the faxes and/or had an existing business relationship with either or both of the Defendants.

The key legal issues include, *inter alia*: (1) whether Plaintiff has standing to bring this lawsuit under the TCPA; (2) whether this case should be stayed pending the FCC's resolution of issues relating to whether an opt out notice was required on the faxes at issue in this case since Plaintiff and member of the putative class gave prior express permission or invitation to Healthways and/or Medversant to transmit the faxes; (3) whether Medversant had a high degree of involvement in the creation and/or sending of the faxes at issue; (4) whether Medversant can or should

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

be held liable for faxes that it transmitted at the direction of Healthways; (5) whether the faxes at issue were unsolicited advertisements under the TCPA: (6) whether Plaintiff and/or members of the putative class gave Medversant and/or Healthways express invitation or permission to send him faxes; (7) whether Plaintiff and/or members of the putative class had an established business relationship with Medversant and/or Healthways; (8) if there was a violation of the TCPA, which is denied, whether that violation was willful or knowing; (9) whether Plaintiff has stated a class of litigants capable of certification for a class under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or under California law; (10) whether Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class; (11) whether the facts alleged support class certification; (12) whether Plaintiff fails to show the existence of a class; (13) whether a class action is the appropriate method for fair and efficient adjudication of this matter; (14) whether Medversant violated any of Plaintiff's or the putative classes' privacy rights; and (15) whether Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief.

#### 4. Parties, Evidence, Etc.

Plaintiff's Statement: Plaintiff is an individual. He is a doctor of chiropractic medicine practicing in North Hollywood. He will testify regarding (1) the facts and circumstances surrounding his receipt of the August 13 fax and any other fax advertisements sent or caused to be sent by Defendants which are the subject of this action (the "Faxes"); (2) his subscription, during all relevant times, of the facsimile telephone number (818) 761-8705 to which the August 13 fax was sent; (3) whether an established business relationship existed between Plaintiff and Defendants at the time the Faxes were sent to Plaintiff; (4) the absence of any prior

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

express permission given by Plaintiff to be sent the Faxes; and (5) the adequacy of Plaintiff to act as class representative for the putative class in this case.

The "core" set of documents to be produced by Defendants in this case consists of: (1) fax advertisements sent by Defendants; (2) fax lists used for the fax broadcasts; and (3) reports and other documents recording the transmission of the fax advertisements. Based on discussions at the Rule 26(f) conference, Plaintiff understands that Defendants have these documents.

<u>Healthways' Statement:</u> HWAYS is a health and well-being improvement company. HWHN is a subsidiary of HWAYS that offers physical medicine benefit management to health plans and employer groups.

Healthways identifies the following parties: Plaintiff, Medversant and Healthways.

Healthways identifies the following Witnesses: Plaintiff; Megan Walker (Senior Manager of Physical Medicine Operation for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Denise Ferrari (Director of Provider Network Services & Claims for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Pamela DeWeese (Manager, Compliance for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Dayna Carney (Business Analyst for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Winnie Grim (Analyst, Service Operations for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Lori Davis (Account Management Consultant for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Desiree Wood (Coordinator, Operations for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Martie Stabelfeldt, (Vice President Physical Medicine Operations for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.); Kathleen Policarpio (IT Operations Analyst for Medversant Technologies, LLC.); Joe Beckerman (Vice President of National Accounts for Medversant Technologies, LLC.); Noor Alikan (Vice

President of Technology Operations for Medversant Technologies, LLC.); Matt Haddad (Chief Executive Officer at Medversant); other employees of Healthways and Medversant; putative class members.

Healthways identify the following documents:

Agreement between Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc., and Medversant Technologies, LLC; ProMailSource faxes; Drafts of the ProMailSource faxes; Communications between Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc., and Medversant Technologies, LLC. relating to the ProMailSource faxes; Documents related to the transmission of the ProMailSource faxes; Documents reflecting prior relationship and/or permission from members of Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc.'s network of practitioners to receive faxes from the Healthways Defendants; Copies of documents and other tangible items produced by Plaintiff to the extent relevant to Defendant's defenses; Copies of documents and other tangible items produced by Medversant Technologies, LLC to the extent relevant to Defendant's defenses.

# Medversant's Statement:

Medversant provides credentialing services to healthcare organizations such as Healthways. The credentialing process involves gathering, verifying and updating information from healthcare providers within the Healthways network. In addition, Medversant offers communication compliance solutions to help its customers, like Healthways, and the healthcare providers working within such networks, meet their information security obligations under the Health Information Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA"). As part of its credentialing business, Medversant communicates with, follows up on requests from, and exchanges valuable information directly with customers (healthcare organizations

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

Medversant is not in the advertising business. Medversant identifies the following parties: Plaintiff; Healthways; and Medversant.

customers and the healthcare providers in their networks), including by fax.

Medversant identifies the following percipient witnesses: Plaintiff; Noor Alikhan (Vice President of Technology Operations at Medversant); Joe Beckerman (Vice President of National Accounts at Medversant); Matt Haddad (Chief Executive Officer at Medversant); Kathleen Policarpio (IT Operations Analyst at Medversant); Martie Stabelfeldt (Vice President of Physical Operations at Healthways); Megan Walker (Senior Manager of Physical Medicine Operations at Healthways); Denise Ferrari (Director of Provider Network Services & Claims at Healthways); Kelley Moore (Senior buyer of Supplier Contracts Group at Healthways); other employees of Healthways and Medversant; putative class members.

Medversant identifies the following categories of documents: Faxes transmitted from Medversant and/or Healthways to health care providers regarding ProMailSource; drafts of faxes from Medversant and/or Healthways to health care providers regarding ProMailSource; documents regarding the relationship between Medversant and Healthways, including, but not limited to, contracts; documents regarding the implementation of ProMailSource, including, but not limited to, test plans, launch schedules, and statements of work; documents reflecting prior relationships and/or permission from health care providers to receive faxes from Medversant or Healthways; documents reflecting existing business relationships with health care providers and/or relating to Medversant's credentialing services; and communications between Medversant and Healthways regarding faxes and/or ProMailSource.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

#### 5. **Damages**

Plaintiff's Position: The TCPA provides for minimum statutory damages of \$500 per fax transmission (without showing any actual damages) that the Court may, in its discretion, increase no more than threefold if a defendant's violations are either knowing or willful. § 227(b)(3). The threshold to qualify for trebling is low. In last year's Bridgeview decision, the court adopted what it called a "more common interpretation" of the willfully or knowingly threshold under the Act, holding that it "simply requires that the Act be intentional or volitional, as opposed to inadvertent, and not that defendant must have known that the conduct would violate the statute." Bridgeview Health Care Ctr. Ltd. v. Clark, No. 09 C 5601, 2013 WL 1154206, at \*7 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 19, 2013). Indeed, "a plaintiff does not need to prove that defendant had knowledge of the TCPA's provisions..." Id.

Using the 41,000 fax transmissions acknowledged by Defendants, minimum statutory damages are \$20.5 million without consideration of trebling.

Healthways' Position: Not applicable to Healthways as defendants. However, Healthways deny that Plaintiff has suffered any damages whatsoever.

Medversant's Position: Not applicable to Medversant as a defendant. To the extent it is applicable, Medversant asserts that neither Plaintiff nor any putative class member has suffered damages and that Medversant is not liable for any damages. Using a single sheet of paper and black toner to print a fax (assuming the fax is even printed given that many fax lines use electronic delivery) is not concrete harm. Further, while the TCPA provides for minimum statutory damages

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 of \$500 per fax transmission (which Medversant asserts is unconscionable), Plaintiff's calculated number of \$20.5 million relies on a faulty assumption that the 41,000 fax transmissions (which differ amongst each other) comprise a single class.

#### 6. Insurance

**Plaintiff's Position:** This is inapplicable to Plaintiff.

Healthways' Position: Healthways has an E&O policy with ACE USA (Illinois Union Insurance Company). The policy has a \$15 million limit (including defense expenses.)

Medversant's Position: Medversant has an insurance policy with Travelers Insurance, under which Travelers Insurance may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in this action. The limits of coverage are \$3 million per wrongful act with a \$3 million aggregate limit. The policy limits are reduced by any fees, costs or settlement. The carrier has issued a reservation of rights.

#### Motions 7.

**Plaintiff's Position:** Based on the information provided by Defendants at the Rule 26(f) conference. Plaintiff does not anticipate filing a motion to add other parties. Plaintiff anticipates that after conducting class-related discovery, Plaintiff will file an amended complaint to reflect such discovery and to conform the pleading to Rule 23 requirements (as Plaintiff's current complaint is a California

3 4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

state court based pleading). Plaintiff anticipates filing a motion for class certification, and as appropriate, a motion for partial/complete summary judgment. Plaintiff anticipates the need to file discovery motions, although Plaintiff is hopeful that discovery disputes can be resolved. Plaintiff will file Motions in Limine, as necessary.

Plaintiff will oppose any motion by Defendants to stay the action. As Plaintiff understands it, Defendants will seek to stay the case until after the FCC rules on their petitions to the FCC for retroactive waivers of past violations of the FCC's regulation requiring opt-out notices for PEP-based fax transmissions. (Medversant has already filed its petition, and Healthways indicated that it intends to file a petition shortly.) Defendants' requests for retroactive waivers do not merit a stay because, among other things, any applications for waiver would not change discovery in the case—the retroactive waivers would only apply to transmissions sent to persons who gave PEP, meaning Defendants would need to establish PEP in the first instance. Plaintiff is entitled to conduct discovery regarding any alleged PEP, and therefore the scope of discovery would remain unchanged.

Healthways' Position: Healthways intend to file the following motions: (1) Motion to Stay; (2) Motion for Summary Judgment; (3) Motions in Limine, if necessary. The motion to stay will be filed jointly with Medversant for the reasons identified by Medversant below.

Medversant's Position: Medversant intends to file the following motions during the course of the litigation: (1) Motion to Stay; (2) Motion for Summary Judgment; (3) Motions in Limine, if necessary; and (4) Motions to Compel, if necessary.

On October 30, 2014, the FCC acknowledged in an order that, prior to the issuance of the order, organizations reasonably may have believed that an opt out notice was not required for faxes sent to recipients who had provided prior express invitation or permission for the transmission of faxes, and invited organizations to apply for retroactive waiver of 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iv). In the Matter of Rules & Regulations Implementing the Tel. Consumer Prot. Act of 1991, 61 Communications Reg. (P&F) 671 (F.C.C. Oct, 30, 2014). Accordingly, Medversant submitted a petition for retroactive waiver on January 8, 2015. Because the FCC has yet to grant or deny Medversant's petition for waiver, and Medversant's defenses, including PEP, are in part dependent upon the granting of such waiver, it would be prejudicial to Medversant for this case to go forward and for Medversant to have to defend itself without it knowing whether it has a PEP defense. Physicians Healthsource, Inc. v. Endo Pharmaceuticals, et al., No. 2:14cy-02289 (E.D. Pa. Jan 16, 2015). Further, the scope of discovery and potential settlement discussions drastically change based on whether the FCC grants or denies the waiver. There is no prejudice to Plaintiff by a stay and the Court would benefit from waiting for the FCC to resolve the ambiguity. For these reasons, Medversant will seek a stay of this lawsuit until the FCC grants or denies its petition for retroactive waiver.

20

#### Manual for Complex Litigation 8.

The parties do not believe that this case needs to be governed by the Manual of Complex Litigation.

25

26

27

28

2

3

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27

28

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

#### 9. Status of Discovery

On November 19, 2014 (same day as counsel's Rule 26(f) conference). Plaintiff served Rule 34 requests, interrogatories and Rule 36 requests on each Defendant. Medversant responded on December 22, 2014, and Heathways Defendants responded (after extension granted) on January 12, 2015. Plaintiff asserts there are a number of discovery issues outstanding regarding Defendants' responses. Medversant contests Plaintiff's assertion. The parties hope to resolve their issues without court intervention.

#### 10. Discovery Plan

The following Discovery Plan is subject to the Court's ruling on Defendants' Motion to Stay based on Medversant's pending petition to the FCC described above.

## Phasing of Discovery, Depositions, Written Discovery and a. **Completion Dates**

#### i. Whether to Conduct Discovery in Phases

The parties agree that class certification-related discovery and merits-related discovery may be pursued concurrently and not phased. The parties also agree merits-related discovery may be pursued after the Court's ruling on Plaintiff's motion for class certification (in the event that the Court grants certification of a class).

2

3 4

> 5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

#### **Anticipated Deponents and Completion** ii.

Plaintiff's Position: It is currently premature to identify anticipated deponents. Defendants identified 16 witnesses in their Initial Disclosures. Accordingly, Plaintiff may need to depose up to 16 witnesses, not including experts. Also, Plaintiff may need to depose two third-party fax broadcasters.

Healthways' Position: It is presently premature to identify anticipated deponents. However, Healthways will depose Plaintiff and other individuals that Plaintiff may identify in responses to written discovery. Healthways may also depose witnesses identified by Medversant and putative class members.

# Medversant's' Position:

It is presently premature to identify anticipated deponents. However, Medversant will depose Plaintiff and other individuals that Plaintiff may identify in responses to written discovery. Medversant may also depose witnesses identified by Healthways, putative class members, and other witnesses as necessary.

## iii. Anticipated Written Discovery and Schedule of Completion

**Plaintiff's Position:** Plaintiff intends to serve follow-up written discovery to both Defendants.

26

27

28

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

#### b. Rule 26(f)(2) — Evidence Preservation

Concurrently with the service of the Complaint upon Defendants, Plaintiff served letters identifying evidence to be preserved by Defendants and asking Defendants to notify any pertinent third parties to also preserve such evidence. At the Rule 26(f) conference Defendants' counsel stated that their respective clients have complied with their obligations to preserve evidence under law.

#### Rule 26(f)(3)(C)—Electronically Stored Information c.

Based on discussions between the parties, it does not appear that ESI will be an issue, although each party reserves its rights related to ESI. Defendants indicate that they will produce fax transmission reports in Excel format.

#### d. Rule 26(f)(3)(D)—Claims of Privilege

The parties agree that the following communications do not need to be logged on a privilege log as long as the communication has not been shared in any part or manner with anyone to whom the privilege does not apply:

- (1)Attorney-client privileged communications between Plaintiff and its counsel of record regarding the litigation created after the litigation was filed;
- Attorney-client privileged communications between Defendants and (2)their respective counsel of record, respectively, regarding the litigation created after the litigation was filed;
- Communications between or among counsel for Plaintiff, between or among counsel for Healthways (including Healthways' in-house counsel), and

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

Case No. 2:14-cy-08022-BRO-JC

#### 12. Motion for Class Certification

3 4

2

7

5

6

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

16 17

15

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT

The parties agreed that Plaintiff can file its motion for class certification by August 1, 2015, with a projected hearing date in late September or early October, depending on the Court's calendar. These dates are subject to the Court's ruling on the Motion to Stay.

#### 13. Settlement/Alternative Dispute Resolution

The parties agree that at this point settlement discussions are premature. The parties have selected private mediation as their ADR method, but have not yet selected any mediator.

#### Preliminary Estimate of Trial Length and Proposed Trial Date 14.

The parties' proposed trial dates are set forth in the Timetable attached at the end of this Report. These dates are subject to the Court's ruling on the Motion to Stay. As the case is currently pled by Plaintiff, the trial is to be tried by jury. Without waiver to seek modification, the parties currently estimate a trial between four to seven days.

#### 15. Names of Trial Counsel

# For Plaintiff:

Scott Z. Zimmermann (Law Offices of Scott Z. Zimmermann) and Darryl Cordero (Payne & Fears LLP).

25

Case No. 2:14-cv-08022-BRO-JC

# For Healthways:

Stephen H. Turner (Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP), Patrik
Johansson (Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP) and Larissa Nefulda (Lewis
Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP).

# For Medversant

Tanya L. Forsheit (Baker & Hostetler LLP) and Daniel M. Goldberg (Baker & Hostetler LLP).

# 16. Independent Expert or Master

The parties currently do not believe that there is a need for an independent expert or master.

#### 17. Timetable

The parties' proposed dates for pre-trial matters and trial are contained in the Timetable attached at the end of this Report. These proposed dates are subject to the Court's ruling on the Motion to Stay.

#### 18. Other Matters

The parties have no other matters to bring before the Court at this time.

Case 2	14-cv-08022-BRO-JC Document 25	Filed 01/26/15 Page 27 of 28 Page ID #:176
1	DATED: January 26, 2015	Law Offices of Scott Z. Zimmermann
2		and Payne & Fears LLP
3		(a)
4		
5		By: s/ Scott Z. Zimmermann
6		Scott Z. Zimmermann Attorneys for Plaintiff Edward Simon, DC,
7		and for all others similarly situated
8	DATED I 06 0015	T
9	DATED: Janaury 26, 2015	Lewis Brisbois Bisgarrd & Smith LLP
10		
11		By: s/ Stephen H. Turner
12		Stephen H. Turner
13		Attorneys for Defendants Healthways, Inc. and Healthways WholeHealth Networks,
14		Inc.
15	DATED: January 26, 2015	Baker & Hostetler LLP
16	39° 49° 42° 14° 14° 14° 14° 14° 14° 14° 14° 14° 14	
17		
18		By: s/ Tanya L. Forsheit
19		Tanya L. Forsheit Attorneys for Defendant Medversant
20		Technologies, L.L.C.
21		3
22		
23	*	
24		
25		
26		
27	Ü	27
28	INITIAL RULE 26(F) REPORT	27 Case No. 2:14-cv-08022-BRO-JC

# JUDGE BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL SCHEDULE OF TRIAL AND PRETRIAL DATES

Matter	Time		Plaintiff(s) (Request)	Defendant(s) (Request)	Court Order
Trial: jury. Estimated length: four days	8:30 am		2/23/16		
[Jury trial] Hearing on Motions in Limine		-1	2/15/16		
[Court trial] File Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law; Hearing on Motions in Limine		-1	2/15/16		
Hearing on Disputed Jury Instructions	1:30 pm	-2	2/8/16		
Pretrial Conference; Proposed Voir Dire Qs Lodged and Agreed-to Statement of Case	3:00 pm	-4	1/25/16		
Motions in Limine to be filed;		-5	1/12/16	-	
Lodge Pretrial Conf. Order; File Memo of Contentions of Fact and Law; Exhibit & Witness Lists; File Status Report resettlement; File Agreed Upon Set of Jury Instructions and Verdict Forms; File Joint Statement re Disputed Instructions, Verdicts, etc.		-6	1/5/16		
Last date to conduct Settlement Conference		-8	12/22/15		
Last day for hearing motions	1:30 pm	-9	12/21/15		
Discovery cut-off [Note: Expert disclosure no later than 70 days prior to this date.]		-10	11/30/15		
Last day to Amend Pleadings or Add Parties	-		5/31/15		

- 1	P.			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SM STEPHEN H. TURNER, SB# 89627 E-Mail: Stephen.Turner@lewisbrisbois PATRIK JOHANSSON, SB# 231769 E-Mail: Patrik.Johansson@lewisbrisboil LARISSA G. NFULDA, SB# 201903 E-Mail: Larissa.Nefulda@lewisbrisboil 633 W. 5th St., Ste. 4000 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.250.1800 Facsimile: 213.250.7900 Attorneys for Defendants HEALTHWAYS, INC. and HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC.	is.com s.com		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, EASTERN DIVISION			
12				
13 14	EDWARD SIMON, DC, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	CASE NO. 2:14-cv		
	situated,	DEFENDANT HE WHOLEHEALTH	I NETWORKS,	
15	Plaintiffs,	INC.'S RESPONS PLAINTIFF EDW	ARD	
16	VS.	SIMON'S FIRST INTERROGATO		
17	HEALTHWAYS, INC., a Delaware corporation; HEALTHWAYS			
18	WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC., a Delaware corporation;	[Hon. Beverly Reid	O'Connell]	
19	MEDVERSANT TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C., a California limited liability	Removed:	September 16, 2014 October 16, 2014	
20	company; and DOES 1 through 1,000, inclusive,	Trial:	None	
21	Defendants.			
22				
23				
24	PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff, ED	WARD SIMON		
25	RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant, I	EALTHWAYS WH	OLEHEALTH	
26	NETWORK	S, INC.		
27	SET NO.: ONE (1)			
28	Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil	Procedure 33, Defend	ant HEALTHWAYS	

DEFENDANT HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF EDWARD SIMON'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC. ("Defendant" or "HWHN") hereby provides its Responses and Objections to Plaintiff EDWARD SIMON's ("Plaintiff") First Set of Interrogatories, as follows:

#### PRELIMINARY STATMENT

It should be noted that Defendant has not fully completed its investigation of the facts relating to the case, has not fully completed its discovery in this action, and has not completed its preparation for trial. All of the answers contained herein are based only upon such information and documents that are presently available to and specifically known to Defendant and disclose only those contentions which presently occur to Defendant. It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research, and analysis will supply additional facts, add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in, and variations from the contentions herein set forth. The following responses are given without prejudice to Defendant's right to produce evidence of any subsequently discovered fact or facts which Defendant may later develop. The answers contained herein are made in a good faith effort to supply as much factual information and as much specification of legal contentions as is presently known, but should in no way be to the prejudice of Defendant in relation to further discovery, research or analysis.

# GENERAL OBJECTIONS AND RESERVATIONS

As to each and every Interrogatory in Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories, Defendant states the following:

A. Defendant objects to Plaintiff's definition of "FAXES" on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, harassing and calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendants' defenses in this action.

Defendant's responses are solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint,

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes.

- Defendant has not yet completed its discovery and investigation of the facts giving rise to this action, but has made a diligent, good faith effort to obtain all information responsive to these requests within Defendant's possession, custody, or control. Accordingly, these responses are made without prejudice to Defendant's right to introduce prior to or at the time of trial or otherwise use any additional information it may obtain as a result of Defendant's continuing discovery and investigation, but Defendant assumes no obligation, beyond that imposed by the California Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to supplement and amend these responses to reflect witnesses, facts, or other information discovered following the date of these responses.
- C. Defendant has based these responses on the assumption that Plaintiff did not intend to seek information protected against discovery by the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work-product doctrine, the right of privacy laws, the protection afforded trade secrets or any other applicable privilege or protection from disclosure. To the extent that these requests are intended to elicit such privileged or protected information, Defendant objects thereto as to each request and assert the applicable privilege or protection to the fullest extent permitted by law.
- D. To the extent that Defendant responds to the requests, Defendant does not concede the relevancy of those responses to this action, nor do they concede that such responses may be used for any purpose in this action or any other action or proceeding. Defendant expressly reserves the right to object to further discovery into the subject matter of any request or any portion thereof.
- E. Defendant objects to each request to the extent that it seeks information equally available to Plaintiff or information that is not within Defendant's possession, custody, or control.
- F. Defendant objects to the requests to the extent that they are intended to 4833-7876-9440.1

4833-7876-9440.1

DEFENDANT HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF EDWARD SIMON'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

be and are overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive.

G. Defendant objects to each request to the extent they seek information that is not relevant to the subject matter of this action, and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

Without waiving any of the foregoing General Objections, each of which applies to each and every one of the individual responses set forth below and is incorporated by this reference therein (whether or not specifically stated in the response), Defendant responds to the individual requests as follows:

# RESPONSES TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

Separately for each FAX (identified by bate number or other identification used in connection with their production), state the dates and times (or approximate dates and times) they were sent or attempted to be sent, and the number of successful transmissions of the FAX.

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. HWHN refers Plaintiff to documents which will be produced in connection with HWHN's responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, after the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

State how, when and through what means MEDVERSANT, HEALTHWAYS, or any other PERSON obtained the facsimile telephone numbers on the LISTS.

## RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. The ProMailSource fax was sent to 15 HWHN's network of practitioners, including Plaintiff. In order to join HWHN's network of practitioners, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit to HWHN an application referred to as "Participating Practitioner Agreement" and upon HWHN's approval of the Participating Practitioner Agreement, the applicant becomes a member of HWHN's network of practitioners. The Participating Practitioner Agreement requests contact information, including fax number. The ProMailSource fax was sent to the members of HWHN's network of practitioners at the fax numbers that each member voluntarily provided in their Participating Practitioner Agreement. HWHN refers Plaintiff to documents which will be produced in connection with HWHN's responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, after the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

Separately for each FAX, IDENTIFY each SENDER of the FAX.

4833-7876-9440.1

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding 10 | Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. HWHN and Medversant Technologies, 11 LLC were the senders of the ProMailSource faxes. HWHN refers Plaintiff to documents which will be produced in connection with HWHN's responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, after the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

IDENTIFY each PERSON who you contend gave PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION to be sent the FAXES.

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. The ProMailSource fax was sent to HWHN's network of practitioners, including Plaintiff. In order to join HWHN's

network of practitioners, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit to

HWHN an application referred to as "Participating Practitioner Agreement" and

upon HWHN's approval of the Participating Practitioner Agreement, the applicant

becomes a member of HWHN's network of practitioners. The Participating

Practitioner Agreement requests contact information, including fax number. The

ProMailSource fax was sent to the members of HWHN's network of practitioners at

the fax numbers that each member voluntarily provided in their Participating

Practitioner Agreement. HWHN refers Plaintiff to documents which will be

produced in connection with HWHN's responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests

for Production of Documents, after the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective

Order executed by the parties to this action.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

For each PERSON identified or mentioned in response to Interrogatory No. 4, describe the COMMUNICATIONS (including date, nature, content and parties thereto) by which such PERSON gave PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION.

## **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. The ProMailSource fax was sent to HWHN's network of practitioners, including Plaintiff. In order to join HWHN's network of practitioners, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit to

1 HWHN an application referred to as "Participating Practitioner Agreement" and upon HWHN's approval of the Participating Practitioner Agreement, the applicant becomes a member of HWHN's network of practitioners. The Participating Practitioner Agreement requests contact information, including fax number. The 5 | ProMailSource fax was sent to the members of HWHN's network of practitioners at the fax numbers that each member voluntarily provided in their Participating Practitioner Agreement. HWHN refers Plaintiff to documents which will be produced in connection with HWHN's responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, after the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

IDENTIFY each PERSON who you contend had an ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP with MEDVERSANT at the time the FAXES were sent or attempted to be sent to such PERSON.

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding 24 Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. HWHN had an established business relationship with each recipient and attempted recipient of the ProMailSource faxes, and Medversant is a vendor to HWHN. Medversant sent the ProMailSource faxes at HWHN's request.

28

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

26

4833-7876-9440.1

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

For each PERSON identified or mentioned in response to Interrogatory No. 6, state the circumstances of how, when and with whom the ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP was started or otherwise was formed.

## **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. Not applicable.

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

IDENTIFY each PERSON who you contend had an ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP with HEALTHWAYS at the time the FAXES were sent or attempted to be sent to such PERSON.

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. The ProMailSource faxes were only

sent to members of HWHN. In order to become a member of HWHN, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit a Participating Practitioner Agreement to HWHN. HWHN has an established business relationship with every person that the ProMailSource faxes were sent to. HWHN refers Plaintiff to documents which will be produced in connection with HWHN's responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, after the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

For each PERSON identified or mentioned in response to Interrogatory No. 8, state the circumstances of how, when and with whom the ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP was started or otherwise was formed.

## **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Objection. The interrogatory is overly broad, unduly burdensome and harassing. The interrogatory calls for information that is not relevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence regarding Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses. The interrogatory invades the privacy of third parties and calls for confidential and private information. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. The ProMailSource fax was only sent to HWHN's network of practitioners, including Plaintiff. In order to join HWHN's network of practitioners, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit to HWHN an application referred to as "Participating Practitioner Agreement" and upon HWHN's approval of the Participating Practitioner Agreement, the applicant becomes a member of HWHN's network of practitioners. The Participating Practitioner Agreement requests contact information, including fax number. The ProMailSource fax was sent to the members of HWHN's network of practitioners at 4833-7876-9440.1

the fax numbers that each member voluntarily provided in their Participating Practitioner Agreement. HWHN refers Plaintiff to documents which will be 3 produced in connection with HWHN's responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents, after the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective 5 Order executed by the parties to this action. 6 **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:** 7 If your response to any Request for Admission concurrently propounded by 8 PLAINTIFF is anything other than an unqualified admission, state all facts you 9 contend support your response. 10 **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:** 11 Defendant's response to this interrogatory is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. 13 14 Request For Admission No. 11: 15 Admit that YOU did not have an ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP with PLAINTIFF at the time the EXHIBIT 1 was sent via 16 17 facsimile transmission to (818) 761-8705. 18 Response To Request For Admission No. 11: 19 Objection. The request calls for a legal conclusion. Subject to and without 20 waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Deny. Facts to Support Response to Request for Admission No. 1: 21 111 22 111 23 111 25 111 26 111 111 27

DEFENDANT HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF EDWARD SIMON'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

28

111

4833-7876-9440.1

Defendant's response to this request is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. The ProMailSource faxes were only sent to members of HWHN's network of practitioners. Plaintiff has been a member of HWHN's network of practitioners since April 2008. In order to become a member of HWHN, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit a Participating Practitioner Agreement to HWHN. HWHN has an established business relationship with every person that the ProMailSource faxes were sent to.

## Request For Admission No. 12:

Admit that PLAINTIFF did not give PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION to be sent EXHIBIT 1 via facsimile transmission to (818) 761-8705.

# Response To Request For Admission No. 12:

Objection. The request calls for a legal conclusion. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Deny.

# Facts to Support Response to Request for Admission No. 2:

Defendant's response to this request is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. HWHN had prior express written consent to send the ProMailSource faxes from every member of HWHN. Plaintiff has been a member of HWHN since April 2008. In order to become a member of HWHN, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit a Participating Practitioner Agreement to join HWHN. Plaintiff filled out and submitted a Participating Practitioner Agreement to join HWHN on April 21, 2008. The Participating Practitioner Agreement requests contact information, including fax number. The ProMailSource fax was sent to Plaintiff at (818) 761-8705, which is the fax number voluntarily provided by Plaintiff in his Participating Practitioner Agreement.

WIS 28

4833-7876-9440.1

## Request For Admission No. 13:

4833-7876-9440.1

Admit that the sending of EXHIBIT 1 via facsimile transmission to (818) 761-8705 violated the JFPA.

## Response To Request For Admission No. 13:

Objection. The request calls for a legal conclusion. Subject to and without waiving said objections, Defendant responds as follows: Deny.

# Facts to Support Response to Request for Admission No. 3:

Defendant's response to this request is solely limited to the allegations in the Complaint, Exhibit 1, similar ProMailSource faxes, and the facts and circumstances surrounding Exhibit 1 and similar ProMailSource faxes. HWHN had prior express written consent to send the ProMailSource faxes from every member of HWHN. Plaintiff has been a member of HWHN since April 2008. In order to become a member of HWHN, a medical care provider has to fill out and submit a Participating Practitioner Agreement to join HWHN. Plaintiff filled out and submitted a Participating Practitioner Agreement to join HWHN on April 21, 2008. The 

1 Participating Practitioner Agreement requests contact information, including fax 2 number. The ProMailSource fax was sent to Plaintiff at (818) 761-8705, which is the fax number voluntarily provided by Plaintiff in his Participating Practitioner Agreement. HWHN had Plaintiff's prior express written consent and had an established business relationship with Plaintiff at the time the ProMailSource fax 6 was sent. 7 DATED: January 12, 2015 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 9 10 By: 11 12 Patrik Johansson Larissa G. Nefulda 13 Attorneys for Defendants 14 HEALTHWAYS, INC. and HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH 15 NETWORKS, INC. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

4833-7876-9440.1

## VERIFICATION

- 1	· Didition			
2	I have read the foregoing DEFENDANT HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH NETWORKS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF EDWARD SIMON'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES and know its contents.			
4 5	I am a party to this action. The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.			
6	I am the Vice President of Physical Medicine Operations for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc., a party to this action, and am authorized to make this verification for and on its behalf, and I make this verification for that reason.			
8	I am informed and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.			
10	The matters stated in the foregoing document are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters which are stated on information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true.			
11	I am one of the attorneys for Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc., a party to this action. Such party is absent from the county where such attorneys have their offices, and I make this verification for and on behalf of that party for that reason. I am informed			
13	and believe and on that ground allege that the matters stated in the foregoing document are true.			
15	Executed on January 1, 2015, at Sterling, Virginia.  I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the States of California and			
16 17	Virginia, and the United States of American that the foregoing is true and correct.			
18	Martie Stabelfeldt MHSA Print Name of Signatory  Signature			
20				
21				
23				
24				
26				
27				
30				

LEWI BRISBOI

4843-2332-2145.1

# **EXHIBIT "D"**

1	Tanya L. Forsheit, Bar No. 19 Email: tforsheit@bakerlaw.co				
2	Daniel M. Goldberg, Bar No. 2 Email: dgoldberg@bakerlaw.c BAKER & HOSTETLER L	280718			
3	BAKER & HOSTETLER L	LP			
4	11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Su Los Angeles, CA 90025-0509				
5	Telephone: 310.820.8800 Facsimile: 310.820.8859				
6	Attorneys for Defendant				
7	MEDVERSANT TECHNOLO incorrectly named as MEDVE TECHOLOGIES, L.L.C., a Ca	RSANT	a.C.,		
8	liability company	nited			
9					
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	WESTERN DIVISION				
13	EDWARD SIMON, DC, individually and on behalf of all others similarly		Case No.: LACV14-8022 BRO (JCx)		
14	situated,	Harry	[ Hon, Beverly Reid O'Connell ]		
15	Plaintiff,		CLASS ACTION		
16	V.		DEFENDANT MEDVERSANT		
17	HEALTHWAYS, INC., a Del	aware	TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF		
18	corporation, HEALTHWAYS WHOLEHEALTH NETWOR	KS, INC.,	INTERROGATORIES		
19	L.L.C., a California limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 1,000, inclusive,				
20			Antino Ella I. Contambra 16, 2014		
21			Action Filed: September 16, 2014 Removal Filed: October 16, 2014		
22	Defendants.		Trial Date: [None Set]		
23	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	DI AINITI	FF EDWARD SIMON, DC		
24	RESPONDING PARTY:		DANT MEDVERSANT		
25	RESI ONDING PART 1.		DLOGIES, L.L.C.		
26	SET NO.:	ONE	LOGIES, L.L.C.		
27	SET NO.	ONE			
28					

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant MEDVERSANT TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C. ("Medversant") hereby answers, responds, and objects to Plaintiff EDWARD SIMON, DC's ("Plaintiff") First Set of Interrogatories to Medversant ("Interrogatories").

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

All responses that follow are made subject to this preliminary statement. The responses appearing below are made subject to and without waiver of (1) all questions as to the admissibility as evidence of the response made, any documents produced or to which reference is made or the subject matter of such documents; (2) the right to object to other discovery directed to the subject matter of the requests or the responses; and (3) the right to make additional objections or seek protective orders.

## **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

The following general objections apply to each individual interrogatory and are incorporated into each response by Medversant.

- Medversant responds to each individual interrogatory with the
  information and documentation that Medversant has been able to discover to date.
  Medversant asserts that its discovery is ongoing and therefore reserves its right to
  supplement and modify its responses should further information or/and
  documentation come into Medversant's possession, or be discovered, as this action
  progresses.
- 2. Medversant objects to Plaintiff's definition of "FAX" or "FAXES" on the grounds that the definition includes information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning ProMailSource or Healthways, and that the definition assumes a legal conclusion, namely that FAXES were "sent." For purposes of these responses, "FAX" or "FAXES" means any and all materials transmitted by Medversant by facsimile to more than 25

telephone numbers, commencing on September 16, 2010 through the date of these responses, which discuss, describe, or promote ProMailSource.

- 3. Medversant objects to Plaintiff's definition of "SENDER" on the grounds that the definition assumes a legal conclusion, namely that FAXES were "sent." For purposes of this response, "SENDER" means any person or entity on whose behalf a fax was transmitted or whose goods or services are referenced in a fax.
- 4. Medversant objects to Plaintiff's definition of "LIST" or "LISTS" on the grounds that the definition assumes a legal conclusion, namely that FAXES were "sent." Medversant further objects to Plaintiff's definition of "LIST" or "LISTS" on the grounds that the term is overbroad and that its incorporation into any particular request causes that request to seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 5. Medversant objects to Plaintiff's definition of "PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION" on the grounds that the definition is vague and ambiguous because the statutory provision incorporated by Plaintiff into that definition, 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5), does not define the term "prior express invitation or permission."
- 6. Medversant objects to each individual interrogatory on the grounds and to the extent that each interrogatory seeks information protected by the attorney-client, attorney work-product, and/or common interest privileges, or the right to privacy of Medversant and/or other persons guaranteed by the United States Constitution and/or any other applicable rule or privilege. Such information shall not be provided and any inadvertent disclosure thereof shall not be deemed a waiver of any privilege whatsoever. Further, all objections stated on the grounds of the attorney-client privilege or work product doctrine are intended to apply to all information that is privileged or protected, either in this litigation, or by virtue of any prior legal proceeding. Medversant will produce a privilege log of responsive

privileged materials, if any, on a future date to be mutually agreed upon by the parties. Throughout these responses, any reference to "privilege" is meant to include attorney-client privilege, attorney work-product doctrine and/or common interest privilege.

- 7. Medversant objects to each individual interrogatory on the grounds and to the extent that each interrogatory seeks discovery of information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 8. Medversant objects to each individual interrogatory on the grounds and to the extent that each interrogatory is vague, ambiguous and unintelligible.
- 9. By setting forth specific objections below, Medversant is not waiving any of the objections set forth above. Furthermore, the specification of certain general objections in responding to certain requests for information is for explanatory purposes only and is not intended to imply a waiver of the general objections in those instances in which they are not specifically mentioned.

# RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES – SET ONE INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Separately for each FAX (identified by bate number or other identification used in connection with their production), state the dates and times (or approximate dates and times) they were sent or attempted to be sent, and the number of successful transmissions of the FAX.

# RESPONSE FOR INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information that does not concern HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the definition of FAX assumes a legal conclusion and

Subject to the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action, Medversant will produce, at a time beginning in January 2015 to be mutually agreed upon by the parties, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control located following a reasonable good faith search sufficient to show the dates on which Medversant transmitted or attempted to transmit by facsimile to more than 25 telephone numbers materials that discuss, describe, or promote ProMailSource, and the number of such transmissions that were successful. Medversant does not have in in its possession, custody or control information regarding the specific times at which such transmissions or attempted transmissions occurred.

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

State how, when and through what means MEDVERSANT,
HEALTHWAYS, or any other PERSON obtained the facsimile telephone numbers
on the LISTS.

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the definition of LISTS assumes a legal conclusion and is overbroad and that its incorporation into this request causes the request to seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds and

to the extent that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

Subject to the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action, Medversant will produce, at a time beginning in January 2015 to be mutually agreed upon by the parties, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control located following a reasonable good faith search sufficient to show how Medversant obtained the facsimile numbers of the intended and/or actual recipients of the materials referenced in Medversant's response to Interrogatory No. 1 above.

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

Separately for each FAX, IDENTIFY each SENDER of the FAX.

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the definitions of FAX and SENDER assume a legal conclusion and are overbroad and that their incorporation into this request causes the request to seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

Subject to the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action, Medversant will produce, at a time beginning in January 2015 to be mutually agreed upon by the parties, non-privileged documents in its possession, custody or control located following a reasonable good faith

search sufficient to identify the PERSON who transmitted the materials referenced in Medversant's response to Interrogatory No. 1 above.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IDENTIFY each PERSON who you contend gave PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION to be sent the FAXES.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request is oppressive and burdensome in that compliance would be unreasonably difficult and expensive. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that Plaintiff's definition of PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION is vague and ambiguous because the statutory provision incorporated by Plaintiff into that definition, 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5), does not define the term "prior express invitation or permission." Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the term sent and the definitions of FAXES assume a legal conclusion and are overbroad and that their incorporation into this request causes the request to seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

Medversant was informed by HEALTHWAYS that all of the recipients and intended recipients of the materials referenced in Medversant's response to

Interrogatory No. 1 above gave prior express invitation or permission to receive those materials.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

For each PERSON identified or mentioned in response to Interrogatory No. 4, describe the COMMUNICATIONS (including date, nature, content and parties thereto) by which such PERSON gave PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request is oppressive and burdensome in that compliance would be unreasonably difficult and expensive. Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that COMMUNICATIONS is not a defined term and is ambiguous. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that Plaintiff's definition of PRIOR EXPRESS INVITATION OR PERMISSION is vague and ambiguous because the statutory provision incorporated by Plaintiff into that definition, 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5), does not define the term "prior express invitation or permission." Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

Joe Beckerman of Medversant was informed by Martie Stabelfeldt and Megan Walker of HEALTHWAYS during teleconference on July 16, 2014, that all of the recipients and intended recipients of the materials referenced in Medversant's response to Interrogatory No. 1 above gave prior express invitation or permission to receive those materials.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IDENTIFY each PERSON who you contend had an ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP with MEDVERSANT at the time the FAXES were sent or attempted to be sent to such PERSON.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request is oppressive and burdensome in that compliance would be unreasonably difficult and expensive. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant, Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the term sent and the definition of FAXES assume a legal conclusion and are overbroad and that their incorporation into this request causes the request to seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

Subject to the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action, Medversant will produce, at a time beginning in January 2015 to be mutually agreed upon by the parties, non-privileged documents sufficient to show the creation, formation or commencement of any ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP between Medversant and any

recipient or intended recipient of the materials referenced in Medversant's response to Interrogatory No. 1 above.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

For each PERSON identified or mentioned in response to Interrogatory No. 6, state the circumstances of how, when and with whom the ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP was started or otherwise was formed.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request is oppressive and burdensome in that compliance would be unreasonably difficult and expensive. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

Subject to the entry by the Court of a Stipulated Protective Order executed by the parties to this action, Medversant will produce, at a time beginning in January 2015 to be mutually agreed upon by the parties, non-privileged documents sufficient to show the creation, formation or commencement of any ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP between Medversant and any recipient or intended recipient of the materials referenced in Medversant's response to Interrogatory No. 1 above.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IDENTIFY each PERSON who you contend had an ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP with HEALTHWAYS at the time the FAXES were sent or attempted to be sent to such PERSON.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request is oppressive and burdensome in that compliance would be unreasonably difficult and expensive. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the term sent and the definition of FAXES assume a legal conclusion and are overbroad and that their incorporation into this request causes the request to seek information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the information requested is in the possession, custody or control of HEALTHWAYS, and should be obtained through discovery propounded on HEALTHWAYS. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

Joe Beckerman of Medversant was informed by Martie Stabelfeldt and Megan Walker of HEALTHWAYS during teleconference on July 16, 2014, that all of the recipients and intended recipients of the materials referenced in Medversant's response to Interrogatory No. 1 above had an existing business

relationship with HEALTHWAYS because they were all providers in the HEALTHWAYS' network.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

For each PERSON identified or mentioned in response to Interrogatory No. 8, state the circumstances of how, when and with whom the ESTABLISHED BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP was started or otherwise was formed.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request is oppressive and burdensome in that compliance would be unreasonably difficult and expensive. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information beyond the scope of permissible discovery, in particular information not concerning HEALTHWAYS or ProMailSource and information regarding facsimile communications transmitted by HEALTHWAYS or third parties outside the control of Medversant. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds and to the extent that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the information requested is in the possession, custody or control of HEALTHWAYS, and should be obtained through discovery propounded on HEALTHWAYS.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

If your response to any Request for Admission concurrently propounded by PLAINTIFF is anything other than an unqualified admission, state all facts you contend support your response.

### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:

Medversant objects to this request on the grounds that the request is oppressive and burdensome in that compliance would be unreasonably difficult and expensive. Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the

request seeks information that violates the privacy rights of third parties.

Medversant further objects to this request on the grounds that the request seeks privileged and/or confidential information. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing, Medversant responds as follows:

#### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:**

Plaintiff had an established business relationship with Healthways as he was part of the Healthways provider network. This established business relationship extended to Medversant.

#### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:**

Plaintiff gave his prior express invitation or permission to receive facsimiles when he voluntarily gave Healthways his facsimile telephone number in his Healthways Provider Agreement. This prior express invitation or permission extended to Medversant.

#### **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:**

The facsimile transmission of Exhibit 1 to (818) 761-8705 did not violate the JFPA for the reasons set forth in Medversant's Affirmative Defenses, including, but not limited to, that the facsimile was not an unsolicited advertisement, Plaintiff gave his prior express invitation or permission to receive facsimiles, Plaintiff did not object to any facsimiles sent to him prior to August 13, 2014, any facsimiles

/// ///

/// transmitted by Medversant were at the direction of Healthways, and any alleged violation was not willful or knowing. Dated: December 22, 2014 Respectfully submitted, BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP By: DANIEL M. GOLDBERG Attorneys for Defendant
MEDVERSANT TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.,
incorrectly named as MEDVERSANT
TECHOLOGIES, L.L.C., a California limited liability company 

5,

14.

#### VERIFICATION

#### Edward Simon, DC v. Healthways, Inc., et al. Case No. LACV14-8022 BRO (JCx)

I, Matthew Haddad, declare:

I am the Chief Executive Officer of Medversant Technologies, L.L.C., a defendant in the above-entitled matter, and I have been authorized to make this verification on its behalf.

I have read the foregoing **DEFENDANT MEDVERSANT TECHNOLOGIES**,

L.L.C.'S **RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES** and

know the contents thereof. The response is based upon and made in reliance upon information
and records maintained by Medversant Technologies, L.L.C.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at 4, Angelc], California on the 2z day of December, 2014.

Matthew Haddad

VERIFICATION

# BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	I am employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400, Los Angeles, California 90025-0509. On
4	MEDVERSANT TECHNOLOGIES, L.L.C.'S RESPONSES TO
5	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND VERIFICATION
6 7	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, California addressed as set forth below.
8	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
9	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed NORCO
10	OVERNITE envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a Norco Overnite agent for delivery.
11	
12	Scott Z. Zimmerman, Esq. C. Darryl Cordero, Esq. Eric M. Kennedy, Esq.
13	601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2610, Los Angeles, California 90017 Payne & Fears LLP 801 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1150 Los Angeles, CA 90017
14	F: (213) 622-2171 T: (213) 439-9911 E: szimm@zkcf.com F: (213) 439-9922
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff E: cdc@paynefears.com E: emk@paynefears.com
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff
17 18	Stephen H. Turner Larissa G. Nefulda Lavia Brighaia Birgand & Smith LLD
19	Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, California 90012
20	T: (213) 250-1800
21	F: (213)250-7900 Attorneys for Defendants Healthways, Inc. and Healthways
22	Wholehealth Networks, Inc.
23	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
24	Executed on December 22, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.
25	Shinlack
26	Shurla L. Thomason
27	
28	

p.1



Ed Simon Chiropractic

21251 Ridgetop Circle, Ste 150 Sterling VA 20166

Ed Simon Chiropractic Attn: Edward Simon DC 6344 Laurel Canyon Blvd North Hollywood CA 91606

Dr. Simon,

Per your request please find your signed Healthways Participating Practitioner Agreement. If you have any questions, please contact Healthways customer service at (800) 274-7526.

Thanks,

Del Bryant Coordinator, Provider Services Healthways WholeHealth Networks Inc. AFF\_A'Updates



#### HEALTHWAYS 46040 Center Oak Plaza

46040 Center Oak Plaza Suite 130, Sterling, VA 20166 Fax: 703-430-9227 Phone: 1-800-274-7526

## PARTICIPATING PRACTITIONER AGREEMENT CERTIFICATE OF PARTICIPATION FOR AFFINITY PROGRAMS

with this application:  Copy of license(s) if applicable Copy of insurance face sheet for pro Completed Published Fee Schedule	ofessional and business liability policy form ment, with professional liability form if a the necessary documentation to the additional contents.	
SIGNATURE LINE		
Practitioner Agreement. With this Certif for Affinity Programs, and hereby special provision of discounted cash services by network standards for my professional s	icate, Practitioner agrees to serve as a Pa fically authorizes and appoints HWHN to Practitioner under HWHN Affinity Pro- pecialty and for my business operations:	ons set forth in this HWHN Participating articipating Practitioner member of HWHN to act on its behalf to contract for the
Please check all specialties for which yo for a specialty in order for it to be added refer to THE Practitioner Specialty Spec	to your profile. You must meet credent	You must include all of the credentials ialing criteria for each specialty (please
Acupuncture Acupuncture, MD/DO Acupuncture, DC/ND Alexander Technique Ayurvedic Medicine Behavioral Health Biofeedback Chinese Herbal Medicine Chiropractic Physician Dietician - Registered/Licensed Doulas Childbirth Educators Energy Healing Practitioner Feldenkrais Guided Imagery/Hypnotherapy	Health and Wellness Coach Hellerwork Herbal Consultant Holistic Nurse Practitioner Integrative Holistic Physician (MD/DO) Homeopathy Hypnotist, non-clinical Massage Therapy Mind-Body Skills Instructor Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Teacher Music Therapy Naprapathy Naturopathic Physician Nutritional Counselor	Occupational Therapist Oriental Bodywork Therapist Pain Practitioner Personal Trainer/Exercise Specialist Pilates Instructor Physical Therapy Post Birthing & Lactation Compselor Qi Gong Instructor Reflexologist Rolfer & Structural Integration Practitioner Tai Chi Instructor Trager Practitioner WholeHealth Advocate Yogn Instructor
-0		HEALTHWAYS

APR 2 1 2008

DISCOUNT AGREEM	DENT.			
Affinity Program partici agreeing to participate, I seeking services under I	pants referred to me. If HWHN will identify my IWHN Affinity Group or 20% or more discour	this left blank, Practic practice in Group-specient contracts. I unden t levels to their benefit	ioner agrees to a 20% ecific online and offli astand that certain H iciaries. Practitioners	ice charges to all HWHN discount. I understand that, by ne directories to members WHN Group clients may only listings in the online directories
		XX XX	2 4	10108
EDWARD SIMO Practitioner's Printed Na		Practitioner's	Signature	Date
			· .,	
Primary Location:	ED SIMON CHIRO	DD ACTIC		
	6344 LAUREL CAL	Contract of the contract of th		
	NORTH HOLLYW	The state of the s	13	
	8187611355		e Fax: 818761870	5
Office Contact:		. ".	Title:	
Secondary Location:		. 9		
Clinic Name:				
City, State, Zip: Phone:		Office	e Fax:	-0.00 m - 2 - 1 Vol 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1
Office Contact:	THE SHARE THE SH	Oille	Title:	
Website Address:		F	37.1	Ally Security
Do you wish to have you What is your first year of What non-English langua	f practice?	101	- <	Isimon Chiropraet Com panish
Practice Focus: Ger +180+men	He effe			lable and back injury.
Payment Methods Acce	pted:		_	$\circ$
O Visa	ben		Discov	er
MasterCo America	n Express		O Cash Person	ol Check
Average Fee Range:	0-5			•
Special Offers:		rion exci	mihation	and
	DISULTA	tin-		HEALTHWAYS
(	-DYSULACT	ILLY		

Updated: 01/09/08

APR 2 1 2008 Page 2

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
MA 8	X' AM	X AM	X AM	X AM	AN
( PM	(o PM	( PM	G PM	C PM	PN

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday .
AM	AM	AM	AM	AM	A٨
PM	PM	PM	PM	PM	PN

#### DEFINITIONS

1. Affinity Program means a discount cash payment arrangement where the Practitioner agrees to provide Participants in HWHN-contracted Affinity programs access to practitioner's services at a specific discount % off the practice's Published Fee Schedule. Practitioner has specified a discount within the range of 10% to 30+%, on services not covered by any health insurance or governmental program. Discount does not apply to co-payments or deductibles for covered services. This discount is to be offered to all Participants in all HWHN contracted Group Affinity programs, for which HWHN provides notice to Practitioner. Participants simply show the Practitioner their Group ID card or HWHN discount card to receive the discount. Payment for services, after the discount, is the complete responsibility of the Participant. (Discount must be applied to personal health services and therapies delivered by Practitioner's office, and may extend, at the Practitioner's discretion, to dispense health related supplies and durable medical goods).

Published Fee Schedule means the current retail or non-discounted fee schedule that applies to the Practitioner's services to the
general public and to the fees for service charged to patients when Practitioner is a non-participating provider in the patient's insurance

plan.

3. Unrestricted License means that the practitioner's healthcare license, registration, or certification is valid for full practice within the jurisdiction's regulated scope of practice for that health care professional specialty, and is not subject to stipulations, practice limitations, probationary periods, temporary supervision requirements, or other limitations. Limitations include peer review actions and malpractice claims settled or pending.

#### TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PARTICIPATION

1. Practitioner agrees to cooperate with HWHN's Quality Management programs. The Quality/Utilization Management (Q/UM) committee is responsible for evaluating a practitioner's professional performance record while participating in the network. It may review fees, quality of care, and administrative complaints and/or audit the services of Practitioners under this Agreement. It may impose sanctions and determine if the applicant's practice meets network standards for ongoing membership and participation in HWHN programs. HWHN, in accordance with health care industry guidelines, maintains a grievance and appeal process for decisions adversely affecting Practitioners eligibility for participation in Group plans.

2. Practitioner represents and warrants that the information provided to HWHN, including, but not limited to the information attested to in

each Practitioner's application, practice profile updates, and credentials updates, is true, complete, and current.

3. Failure to honor the contracted discounts, or inconsistent application of the Published Fee Schedules, or failure to inform HWHN of changes in practice status will be considered a material breach of this agreement. HWHN will accept changes in the Published Fee Schedules every six months. Failure to comply with Quality Management investigations, and/or submission of false information, is grounds for termination.

4. HWHN agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold the Practitioner harmless from and against any and all claims, Iosses, costs, damages, expenses of every kind and character and liabilities, including attorney's fees and costs, (hereinafter "claims" or "claim") incurred in connection with such claims, including any action or proceeding brought thereon, arising from or as a result of any accident, injury, loss or damage whatsoever caused to any person or to the property of any person arising out of or in connection with this Agreement caused by the negligence or misconduct of HWHN or its agents, contractors, servants or employees of HWHN excepting; however, in each case, claims caused by the negligence or misconduct of Practitioner or its agents, contractors, servants or employees of Practitioner agrees to defend, indemnify and hold HWHN and contracting Groups harmless from and against any and all claims, losses, costs, damages, expenses of every kind and character and liabilities, including autorney's fees and cost, (hereinafter "claims" or "claim") incurred in connection with such claims, including any action or proceeding brought thereon, arising from or as a result of any accident, injury, loss or damage whatsoever caused to any person or to the property of any person arising out of or in connection with this Agreement caused by the negligence or misconduct of Practitioner or its agents, contractors, servants or employees of Practitioner excepting; however, in each case, claims caused by the negligence or misconduct of Group/HWHN or its agents, contractors, servants, or employees of Group/HWHN.

APR 2 1 2008

#### PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

Liability/Insurance: All Practitioners with health care licenses and Practitioners with specified unlicensed hands-on specialties (see specialty list) agree to maintain professional liability insurance. Per the current HWHN policy for CAM practitioners, a minimum of \$200,000 per occurrence and \$500,000 aggregate is required while limits of 1M/3M are required for all MD's and DO's, as well as ND's and DC's who also are credentialed for acupuncture. (Members who participate in certain regional contracts involving both covered benefits and affinity programs may be required to have higher limits.) Practitioner agrees to maintain required premises and comprehensive general liability insurance in amounts of \$100,000 per claim and \$100,000 per year, or the minimum required by state law, whichever is greater. Furthermore, the Practitioner agrees to obtain extended liability insurance (sometimes called "nose" or "tail" policies), to insure retroactive coverage for professional acts performed during the term of this agreement, should the Practitioner terminate this agreement and change or terminate professional malpractice coverage.

Practice Experience: All practitioners are required to have 12 months experience in the credentialed practice specialty.

Patient Services: Practitioners must speak fluent English or have access to an interpreter.

Ed Simon Chiropractic

Health Information Privacy Regulatory Compliance and Business Associate Agreement:

Practitioner agrees that practitioner's practice will remain compliant with applicable state and federal regulations regarding privacy and confidentiality of individually identifiable health information.

HWHN agrees to adhere to applicable state and federal privacy regulations with respect to Protected Health Information, as defined under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, received from Practitioner's practice.

Premises Standards: Health care office locations must follow OSHA safety standards, and home offices must have separate treatment room or studio and professional signage as allowed by local zoning.

Practitioner Licensure Requirements:

- Practitioners must give evidence of current unrestricted license in the specialty(ies). With some practitioner types; HWHN has established additional criteria, such as dual credentialing in both a licensed field as well as by meeting certification standards for the unlicensed practice specialty.
- Acceptance of practitioner types who meet HWHN credentialing criteria for training and certification is also subject to state-by-state application of network business criteria established by HWHN and their network clients.

#### NETWORK CERTIFICATION AND RELEASE OF INFORMATION

QUERIES TO THE NATIONAL PRACTITIONER DATA BANK OR STATE LICENSING BOARD

State and federal licensing and regulatory boards will be queried if you apply. If your application is rejected for reasons relating to professional conduct or professional competence, which reasons include misrepresenting, misstating or omitting a relevant fact in connection with your application, the rejection may be reported to the National Practitioner Data Bank.

#### RIGHT TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS INFORMATION

Practitioner has the right to review information submitted in support of your Network Application and contract to the extent permitted by law and HWHN will notify you of any information obtained during the review that differs substantially from the information you provide. You will then have the right to correct any erroneous information from HWHN.

#### CERTIFICATION OF APPLICATION HEALTH CARE LICENSE AND MALPRACTICE CLAIM STATUS

- I certify all statements in this application are correct and I agree with the terms of this agreement with HWHN.
- I certify that I have and will maintain during the course of my contractual relationship with HWHN the unrestricted healthcare license(s) required for my specialties as a HWHN network practitioner. Unrestricted license means that the practitioner's healthcare license is valid for full practice within the jurisdiction's regulated scope of practice for that health care professional specialty, and not subject to stipulations, practice limitations, probaticnary periods, temporary supervision requirements, or other limitations. I will notify HWHN if my license status changes.
- If there are national standards and/or state licensure standards for a practitioner type that is not licensed, registered, or certified by the applicable state jurisdiction, HWHN has recognized certain national standards applicable for its network. I certify that I meet these standards for training, experience, and examination, as summarized in this application, in the absence of local licensure, or in addition to any existing lesser local requirements. I recognize that HWHN standards do not substitute for my meeting such state licensure requirements for health care practice as may periodically be instituted or updated by state jurisdictions.
- I have , have not had any malpractice claims or award involvement. If you have past or current claims, please fill out the attached professional liability explanation form.

AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF INFORMATION

I authorize HWHN to consult with past employers, administrators and members of institutions with which I have been or am currently associated, and with others who may have information bearing on my qualifications as a Practitioner, including past and present malpractice carriers to obtain and verify my credentials and professional competence. I further consent to the inspection by representatives of HWHN of all documents that may be material to an evaluation of my professional competence, character and ethical qualifications including information relating to any disciplinary action, suspension, or curtailment of medical-surgical privileges. I consent to the release and exchange of information relating to any disciplinary action, suspension, or curtailment of medical-surgical privileges to HWHN. I authorize the medical and/or professional associations of which I am a member to turn over to the representatives of HWHN a copy of my application for membership and related documents.

I release from liability all representatives of HWHN for the my application and use credentials and equalification, and information to HWHN good firth and without malice con Signature:  Practitioner Name:	racts performed in good faith and without malico in connection with evaluating release from any liability any and all individuals and organizations that provide occurring my professional competence, character and ethics.  Date:  Title or Designation (DC, LAc, GCFP, etc):
A photocopy of this document shall be as effective	as the original when so presented. (Signature stamps are not acceptable).
PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INFORMATION FORM	1
Please complete this form explaining any professional liabil information provided should include pending and closed car from your insurer if necessary. Copy this form if you have	ity claims or lawsuits brought against you, settled, or dismissed. The ses, as well as dismissed or dropped claims or suits. Please obtain information more than one claim to report.
Practitioner Name:	Case Number:
Current status of legal action:	
	available):
Dismissed or Dropped Date: Closed Date:	
Resolution:	
No Payments	
Date of Filing:	
197 - 197 - 198 -	
Date of Incident:	
Professional Liability Insurer:	
Allegation:	
Details of incident including your role, relating events, a	
Have you made any changes in your practice as a result. Attach separate sheet if required.	of this incident?
Х	
I certify to the best of my knowledge that all information misstatement or demonstrate on this application may constitute	provided above is correct and complete. I unit in the THE WAST ficant conse for denial or revocation of my compact.  Date: APR 2 1 2008
Signature: Updated: 01/09/08	Page 5
	RECEIVED

#### Published Fee Schedule Reporting Form

Published Fee Schedule means the non-discounted fee schedule that applies to the Practitioner's services to the general public. Typical fees include initial contact session, revisit session, common treatment procedures, and group or individual educational class fees. Please indicate your current Published (prevailing or usual, customary and reasonable) Fees charged for your 5-10 most frequent services. Healthcare practitioners should consult the AMA reference materials for proper use of CPT codes. If one or more of your most frequently charged items is not listed, indicate them in the blank spaces provided. Circle the code or item number on the left of the columns for items that apply to your practice and report those fees. Non-licensed educators, trainers and counselors should indicate their fees for individual and group sessions and for group classes, programs and we know a please use whole numbers only to the code of the columns for items that apply to your practice and report those fees. Non-licensed educators, trainers and counselors should indicate their fees for individual and group sessions and for group classes, programs and we know a please use whole numbers only to the code of the columns for items that apply to your practice and report those fees. Non-licensed educators, trainers and counselors should indicate their fees for individual and group sessions and for group classes, programs and we know the programs and the programs are programs and the programs are programs.

Print your Name

CAC Zip Code

Sign your Name

Date

Check here if you are submitting a copy of your office Fee slip with the 5-10 most frequently used charges highlighted; sign above and attach your form to this one.

| Our | Description | Fee | No. | CP1 | Description | Fee | CP1 | Description | Fe

1700	THE RESERVE AND PERSONS ASSESSMENT AND PARTY.	ach your form to this one.	I III KKING
No.	CPT	<u>Description</u>	rec
	查和胡爾明	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF	类中种层
		New Patient Services	1.
1	99201	Problem focused, (typically 10 min.)	\$
2	99202	Expanded problem, (typically 20 min.)	5
3	99203	Detailed Hx & PE (typically 30 min)	2
4	99204	Comprehensive, Mod Complex (45min)	S
5	99205	Comprehensive, High Complexity	S
	<b>第15年第14</b> 0条	Breablished Patient Services	
6	99211	Minimal, (typically 5 minutes)	5
7	99212	Problem focused, (typically 10 min.)	3
8	99213	Expanded problem, (typically 15 min.)	2 1
9	99214	Detailed, (typically 25 min.)	2
10	99215	Comprehensive, (typically 40 min.)	. 5
1000	PS KNOWNEY	E/MESUPORES, New or Established Patient	de nous de
11	99273	Confirmatory Consult, Detailed Hx & PE, low complexity (typical 40 min.)	S
12	99274	Confirmatory Consult, Comprehensive mod. complexity, (typically 60min.)	\$
13	99386	Preventive Med. Eval, Comp. Review and counseling, New Pt, Age 40-64	2
14	90806	Office Psychotherapy, 45-50 min.	5
15	90876	Office psychotherapy w/ Biofeedback	18
16	90901	Biofeedback training, any modelity	5
	an and		上的底
17	No code	Trad. Chinese Medicine Evaluation	\$
18	No code	Homeopathic Medicine Evaluation	15
19	No code	Ayurvedic Medicine Evaluation	15
		Physical Medicine Evaluations	Ann
20	197001	Physical therapy initial evaluation	2
21	97002	Physical therapy re-evaluation	\$
22	97003	Occupational therapy initial eval.	13
23	97005	Athletic Training initial evaluation	\$
24	97799a	Acupuncture initial evaluation	13
25	97799b		\$
23 49-1000	977990	Acupuncture recyaluation	No bridge and
<b>新角形</b>	Pittueter 160	mental and as an interest of a schramor and	849年
			\$
	-		\$
_			S
			S
/基個		SAJO Comments all'Explanations (1956).	S ROSS

NO.	CIT	Deserreman	Ec.
銀星	河岸等河南	smin Produce (Ship is alternation II)	
-	- Company	Chiropeactle Manipulative Treatment	- CANADA ATT
26	98940	CMT, spinal, 1 to 2 regions	\$
27	98941	CMT, spinal, 3 to 4 regions	\$
28	98942	CMT, spinal, 5 regions	5
29	98943	CMT, extra spinal, 1 or more regions	S
	<b>基本的特别</b>	Truscatores prio or more areast each 1.5 principles (section bright) unin	9 per 16 ound
30	97110	Therapeutic exercises	1\$
31	97112	Neuromuscular reeducation	8
32	97116	Gait training	\$
33	97124	Message	Ś
34	97139	Unlisted procedure, ea. 15 mir.	\$
35	97140	Manual therapy, one or more regions	\$
36	97530	Therapeutic activities to improve	5
20	21334	performance, each 15 minutes	3
37	97532	Training to Develop cognitive skills	\$
38	97533	Sensory integrative techniques	\$
39	97535	A.D.L / Self care/home management	5
1978	<b>经</b> 图 解	School Sharph Western	\$156 P.156
40	97810	Acupuncture, one or more needles, initial 15 min, without electrical stimulation	2
41	97811	Acupuncture, one or more needles, ea. addtl. 15 min without electrical stimulation	\$
42	97813	Acupuncture, one or more needles, initial 15 min, with electrical stimulation	\$
43	97814	Acupuncture, one or more needles, ea. addt'l. 15 min with electrical stimulation	3
44	97802	Nutrition Therapy, initial, ea. 15min.	\$
45	20552	Trigger point Injection, 1-2 muscle grps.	S
	(	Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment	
46	98925	OMT, 1 to 2 body regions involved	8
47	98926	OMT, 3 to 4 body regions involved	S
48	98927	OMT, 5 to 6 body regions involved	S
49	98928	OMT, 7 to 8 body regions involved	S
50	98929	OMT, 9 to 10 body regions involved	S
	The Second County	adopol Valles and Bessel of Section Street	
51	Individua	1 Training /Counseling Session	S
52	Access to the second second	ckage of Individual Sessions	S
53	Group C	ass, Single Session	\$
54	Comment State Comment	ass, Multi part Workshop	\$
55	Group Cl	ass Series of Package of Group Sessions	\$
_			

#### PRACTITIONER SPECIALTY SPECIFIC CREDENTIALS REQUIREMENTS

Please check the information that applies to your specialty (ies). You will be listed in the directories by these categories.

Acapanetura:	☐ Graduation from a formal full-time account ourse program meeting NCCAOM requirements. ☐ Held a valid unrestricted state liceuse and/or National Certification Commission for Acupuncture and Oriental Medicine.	(NCCAOM)
	certification. OR	
	Physician Acaptmentrists (MD/DO) must hold a valid timestricted license to practice medicine including acupuncture, an practicing member of the Am. Academy of Medical Acupuncture (AAMA), or be certified by the Am. Board of Medical	
	NDs and DCs need to have 200 hours of acupuncture training and meet ND or DC state scope of practice criteria.	
	Professional liability insurance limits of 134/3M are required for all MD's and DO's as well as ND's and DC's who pract OR liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 for licensed accounterists only.	ice a cupuncture
AJexander Technique:	Certified by the American Society of Alexander Technique (AmSAT) or by Alexander Technique International (ATI).  Professional Hability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.	
1 econique:	☐ Professional Hability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$590,000.	
Ayurvedic:	☐ Credentialed with Healthways WholeHealth Networks, Inc. in another licensed specialty.	
	☐ Written documentation of 200 hours of training. ☐ Three letters of reference, praferably one from the program instructor.	
Behavioral	Masters degree or higher in a behavior health discipline, i.e. Psychologists, Social Worker etc.	
Health:	Hold a valid unresmitted state license.	
	Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.	
Biofeedback	Certification from the Biofeedback Certification Institute of America (BCIA).	
	Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.	
Childbirth Edgestor:	Applicants may qualify as a Childbirth Educator, with documented training and certification under the auspices of  International Childbirth Education Association (ICEA) OR	
EGGCR(OT.	Childbirth and Postpertum Professional Association (CAPPA) OR	
	☐ American Academy of Husband Coached Childbirth (AAHCC - Bradley ® Method) OR	
	ASPO/Lamaze - Lamaze Certified Childbirth Educator OR	
	Association of Labor Assistants and Childbirth Educators (ALACE)	-
Chinese Herbal	<ul> <li>National Certification Commission for Acaptacture and Oriental Medicine (NCCAOM) Herbal Practitioner certification,</li> </ul>	or state license
Medicine:	exam for TCM herbs.  Credentialed as a flooraged acupurcutarist or other Hoensed profession.	
	Professional flability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000	
Chirapractic:	Graduation from an accredited college or formal training program,	-
	Hold a valid unrestricted state license.  Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.	
Dietician	Hold a valid unrestricted state license and/or American Dietetic Association/Commission on Dietetic Registration (ADA/C	(D)3)
Registered/	accrediation,	obity.
Licensed:	Professional Hability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000	
Doulas:	Applicants may qualify as a Doula, with documented training and certification as a prenaual, labor/birth, or postpartom doula under the	ie auspices of
	Doules of North America (DONA) OR	7-
	Childhinh and Postpartum Professional Association (CAPPA) OR	
	National Association of Postparturn Care Services OR     Association of Labor Assistants and Childbirth Educators (ALACE) PLUS	
	Current professional liability insurance policy of 200,000 / 590, 600 minimum.	
Energy Healing	Reiki: Credentialed with HWHN is another licensed specialty AND	
Practitioner:	Professional liability insurance firmits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.	
	<ul> <li>Relki; Certified as a Third Degree Reiki (Reiki Master) or as a Reiki Master Teacher, with three fetters of reference OR</li> <li>Healing Touch; Certified as a practitioner or teacher by Healing Touch International.</li> </ul>	
Feldenkrais:	<ul> <li>Guild Certified Foldenkrais Practitioner of Teacher certificate from the Feldenkrais Guild of North America.</li> <li>Professional Hability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000</li> </ul>	
Culded Imagery:	Mest HWHN credentialing criteria in Behavioral Health.	
	Documented training in clinical Guided Imagery or Hypnotherapy.  Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.	
Health and	Certification by a professional certifying or trade organization with standards of practice, and a code of ethics acceptable a	o the
Wellness Coach:	Credentialing Committee OR	D CLO
	Graduation from an accredited post-secondary education program with a degree in coaching/lifestyle education field OR	
	<ul> <li>Completion of a past professional continuing education program in health education and coaching acceptable to the Creder Committee PLUS</li> </ul>	ntialing
	Current, valid, unrestricted license/registration for coaching services if required by the state in which he/she will participat	ė.
Hellerwork	Certified by Hellerwork International as a Certified Hellerwork Practitioner.	16317 //. XVS
Practitioner:	Professional liability insurance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.	

Herbal Consultant:	☐ Full member of the American Herbalists Guild and a minimum of 200 hours education in herbal medicine. ☐ Three letters of reference, preferably one from the program instructor.
Holistic Nurse	Hold a valid unrestricted state license as an advanced nurse or purse practitioner.
Practitioner:	200 or more hours course work in alternative medicine or another credentialed CAM specialty.
	□ Professional liability insurance of at least \$200,000/\$500,000.
Homeopath:	Certified in Classical Homeopathy by the Council for Homeopathic Certification OR
municopatii.	A licensed independent prescribing health practitioner (DC, ND, MD, DO, NP, etc) otherwise credentialed by examination with a
	recognized state, national or international certificate of primary care or specially care homeopathic expertise,
	☐ Business or professional liability insurance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000 or \$1 M/\$3 M based on license level.
Hypnotist	Active Certified morobers of the National Guild of Hypnotists, Inc.
(non clinical):	Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
Integrative Holistic	Cl A minimum of 200 hours of documented course work in integrative medicine or osteopathic principles, or be certified by the American
Physician:	Board of Holistic Medicine.
	☐ Hold a valid unrestricted state license to practice medicine.
v	Professional liability insurance of \$1,000,000 / \$3,000,000.
Massage Therapy:	Hold a valid unrestricted state massage license.
	Current jurisdictional (city/county, etc.) license PLUS either of the following:
	Certificate of NCBTMB exam passage (National Certification Board of Therapeutic Massage & Bodywork OR  Certificate of active professional AMTA or ABMP membership (requires 500 line training) OR
	Certificate of active professional AMTA or ABMP membership (requires 500 hra training) OR  Meet WHN qualifications for alternative bodywork training and certification (Rolling, Myotherapy, Reiki, Hellerwork, Oriental Body
	Work, etc.).
	Professional liability insurance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
Mind-Body Skills	Certification by Peggy Huddleston of satisfactory completion of training in administering the "Frepare for Surgery, Heal Fester"
Instructor:	Weekshop Program OR
************	Written Documentation of completion of training as a meditation instructor in a formal or apprenticestile training program PLUS
	<ul> <li>Attestation of a minimum of 200 hours or training and/or practice teaching PLUS</li> </ul>
	Three letters of reference, one of which is from the program instructor OR
	<ul> <li>Written documentation of completion of training in the MindBodySpirit Professional Training Program, offered by the Center for Mind- Body Medicine in Washington D.C. OR</li> </ul>
	Documentation of status as a Certified Middendorf Practitioner by completion of the flurer year (three block) professional training
	offered by Middendorf Breath Institute in Berkeley California.
Mindfulness Based Stress Reduction	MBSR Teacher Certification evidenced by a Certification by the Center for Mindfulness A at the University of Massachusetts OR  Copy of Allestation to 200 hours of experience teaching Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) PLUS
Teachers:	Written Documentation of completion of Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction in Mindfield Medicine: A 5- or 7-Day Residential
	Training Retreat offeced by the Center for Mindfulness at University of Massachusetts Medical School OR
	Written Documentation of completion of Practicum in MBSR (formerly the Internship Program) and/or Teacher Development Intensive
	in MBSR and/or Supervision in MBSR conducted by CPM or a CFM affiliated training program PLUS  Lotter of Reference from an MBSR Instructor-trainer approved by the Center for Mindfulness (contact CFM or HWHN for list of
	approved professionals) AND
	Two Additional Professional or Client Letters of Reference (if holding a professional health care license, meet HWHN criteria for the
	liconsed specialty)
Music Therapy:	A listing of current certification as MT-BC by the Certification Board for Music Therapists (CEMT) OR
	A listing as a Registered Music Therapist (RMT), Certified Music Therapist (CMT) or Advanced Certified Music Therapist (ACMT), as
	listed with the National Music Therapy Registry PLUS
	Current membership in the American Music Therapy Association (AMTA) OR A listing of current certification as MT-BC by the
	Certification Board for Music Therapists (CBMT) OR  A listing of current certification as MT-BC by the Certification Board For Music Therapists.
	Licensed by the State of practice where required
Newson	D. Continue of the Chinase Medical College of Discount of the Chinase Discount
Napraputhy:	Graduate of the Chicago National College of Naprapathy or the Swedish College of Naprapathy, Professional liability insurance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
	Told a valid unrestricted state license for maprapathy or manual therapy in your state.
	☐ Professional Hability insurance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
Naturanathia	D. Graduation from a extraorable modest office with a few
Naturopathic Physician:	Graduation from a naturepathic medical college with a four-year graduate degree.  Hold a valid unrestricted state license. If the four-year graduate the practitioner must pass the Naturepathic Physicians
	Tions a value discontinual parties and a value of state to a value of state and practitioner mast pass the Maturopathic Physicians  License Exam (NPLEX) and have a valid cut-of-state ND license.
	Professional liability insurance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
Nutritional	Hold p valid unrestricted state license as a nutritionist OR (if non-licensed state)
Counselor:	Certified as a Certified Clinical Nutritionist (CCN) by the Clinical Nutrition Certification Board OR
	Certified as a Certified Nutritional (CN) by the National Institute of Nutritional Education.
	☐ Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000
One market and	
Occupational Therapist:	Graduation from an accredited college or formal training program.  Business or Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
- mar aprovi	Dustriess or Professional Habitary insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.  Hold a valid unrestricted state license.  Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000. Graduation from an accredit consecution from a consecution f
	Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000. Graduation from an accredit to the few forthal training program

Updated: 01/09/08

APR 2 1 2008

Page 8

Oriental	☐ Hold a valid unrestricted state or local license PLUS
BodyWork:	Writter, documentation of Massage training program, including Oriental body work, of 500 class hours and a National Certification
	Board for Therapeutic Massage and Bodywork (NCBTMB) certification OR
	Certification in Asian Bodywork Therapy by the Nat. Certification Commission for Acupuncture and Oriental Med. (NCCAOM).
	☐ Professional liability insurance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000
Pain Practifloners	Hold a current, valid, unrestricted license/(egistration as a health care practitioner (MD, DO, DC, FT, and ND, LAc, Nurse practitioner
	or behavioral health) in the state in which he/she will participate,
	<ul> <li>Graduation from an accredited college or formal training program for the primary license recognized by the state licensing agency.</li> </ul>
	Current professional Rability insurance policy meeting primary specialty requirements, or at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
	Certification as a Diplomat, Fellow or Clinical Associate in Pain Mgmt by credentisling exam of American Academy of Pain Mgmt OR
	<ul> <li>Centified by the American Board of Pain Medicine OR</li> <li>Centified by the subspecialty examination in Pain Medicine by the boards for Anesthesiology, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, or</li> </ul>
	Psychiatry and Neurology.
Personal Trainer/	Certification from the American College of Sports Medicine(ACSM), the American Council on Exercise (ACE), the National Strength
Exercise Specialist:	and Conditioning Association (NISCA), National Academy of Sports Medicine (NASM), International Sports Sciences Association
mer end observant	(ISSA) or the International Weightlifting Association (IWA), the Acrobics and Pliness Association of America (APAA) or an equivalent
	program spensored by an accredited institution of post secondary education OR
	<ul> <li>Hold a Master's Degree in Exercise Physiology from a recognized US or Caradian institution OR</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Hold an Undergraduate Degree in physical education, exercise science, health science or nutrition, with additional training in physical</li> </ul>
	therapy and Kinesiology, and a certification from one of the following:
	☐ The Center for Exercise Physiology (CEP) OR Registered Clinical Exercise Physiologist by the American College of Sports Medicine
	□ Health Pitness Director or Program Director certification by the American College of Sports Medicine □ Certified by the Health & Fitness Program of certification by the Cauadian Society for Exercise Physiology (CSEP) PLUS
	<ul> <li>Certified by the Health &amp; Fitness Program of certification by the Gausdian Society for Exercise Physiology (CSHP) PLUS.</li> <li>Evidence of at least 15 CBU's of continuing education in exercise and fitness specialties every two years</li> </ul>
	G Byllittle that its in the affection in the constraint in the constraint of the con
Physical Therapist:	Graduation from an accredited college or formal training program.
	Dusiness or Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
	Hold a valid unrestricted state license.  Professional liability insurance limits of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000 Graduation from an accredited college or formal training program.
Pilates l'estructor:	Registered Filates Instructor member of the Pilates Method Allinace (PMA) OR
	Latter attesting current employment at Studio or Educational Organization that is registered with PMA OR
	<ul> <li>Evidence of Training through or by a Pilates Instructor program recognized by the Pilates Method Allinuce OR</li> <li>Certificate of completion in a comprehensive Pilate's teacher training course with a 400 hour minimum requirement.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Certificate of completion in a comprehensive Filate's teacher training course with a 400 hour minimum requirement.</li> <li>Business or Professional liability insurance limits of at Jeast \$200,000 / \$500,000.</li> </ul>
Post Birthing	Documented fraining and certification under the auspicer of the International Childbirth Education Association (ICEA) OR
Luctation	Childbirth and Postpartum Professional Association (CAPPA) OR
Counselor:	La Leche Les gue International accredited Leader program OR     International Board of Lacistica Consultant Examiners (IRLCP)
Qi Gong:	Certification from the individual training program.
	A minimum of 200 hours of training and/or practice teaching.     One year teaching experience.
Reflerologist:	Credentialed with HWHN as a massage therapist.  Certification from the American Reflexology Certification Board.
	Certification from the American Reflexatory Certification Board.  Professional liability insurance three of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
Relfer/Structural	Documented uniting and certification under the auspices of either certification from the Rolf Institute as a Certified Rolfer or Advanced Certified Rolfer, and an additional 400 class hours after certification OR
Integration:	Meeting the current membership and certification standards of the International Association of Structural Integrators AND
	Professional liability insurance in the amounts determined by the Operational Quality Committee
Tai Chi:	Certification from the individual training program.  A minimum of 200 hours of training and/or practice teaching.
	A minimum of 200 hours of training and/or practice teaching.  One year teaching experience.
24 - 25 - 12	
Trager Practitioner:	Credentialed with HWHN as a licensed health care practitioner.  Certified with the Trager Institute as a Trager Practitioner.
	Certified with the Trager Institute as a Trager Practitioner.  Professional liability inturance of at least \$200,000 / \$500,000.
WholeHealth	Certification by a National Institute of WholeHealth as a WholeHealth Educator OR
Advocate:	<ul> <li>Completion of the WholeHealth Advocate Training Program of 186 CEU's offered to HWHN network members by the National</li> </ul>
	Institute of WholeHealth OR  Evidence of completion of other comparable post professional or post degree continuing education programs acceptable to the
	<ul> <li>Evidence of completion of other comparable post professional or post degree continuing education programs acceptable to the Operational Quality Committee PLUS</li> </ul>
	Two years of experience as a professional health care practitioner or a health and wellness coach
	Current, valid, unrestricted license/registration in the related health care profession, if applicable, and any couching or lifestyle
	counseling registrations or certifications required by the state in which he/she will participate.
Yogas	A Registered Yoga Teacher, registered with Yoga Alliance (YA) OR
no e wederel	Evidence of Training through or by a Yoga Alliance Registered School OR.
	Certificate of completion of an unregistered comprehensive Yoga Teacher course that meets the Yoga Alliance standards PLUS
	One year in practice experience since completion of training or currently working under supervisors to the table of the same with Yoga Alliance.
	I The shall be a second

Updated: 01/09/08

APR 2 1 2008 Page 9

## Therapies and Techniques: Please check all that apply These Therapies and Techniques will be listed on your profile.

0	Acupressure	a	Energy Healing	0	Moxabustion
	Acupuncture	D	Energy Healing-Healing Touch		Music therapy
0,	Acupuncture-Ear/Auricular	0	Energy Healing-Reiki		Myotherapy
8	Acute injuries-auto/work	0	Environmental Medicine	0	NAET
	Addiction/Substance Abuse Treatment	2	Exercise-Clinical		Naturopathic Medicine
	Alexander Technique	0/	Exercise-Fitness		Nutrient Injection Therapy
0	Anti-Aging/Longevity Therapies	0	Exercise-Performance training	0	Nutrition-Clinical
ES.	Applied kinesiology	D	Face Pain Therapy	0	Nutrition-Preventive
Q	Aquatic Therapy	0	Feldenkrais for groups	0	Nutrition-Sports
0	Aromatherapy		Feldenkrais for individuals	D	Occupational Therapy
	Art therapy		Flower essences	D	Orthomolecular medicine
	Asian/Oriental Body Work	2	Food Allergy Management		Orthotics
	Ayurvedic Medicine	0	Foot Care-Podiatry		Oxygen Therapy, Hyperbari
	Biofeedback	a	Guided Imagery	0	Pain management
	Body Composition Testing	۵	Hellerwork therapy	0 0	Physical Medicine Procedur Physical
	Breath work	0	Herbal consulting/Treatments		erapy/Physiotherapy
	Chelation therapy	0	Homeopathy-complex	la	Pilates
		0	Homeopathy-		
2	Children's Health Programs	Cor	astitutional/classical	۵	Polarity therapy
3	Children-Special Needs Care	0	Homeopathy-personal care	0	Preventive medicine
		D	Huddleston Prep for Surgery		
7	Chinese Herbal Medicine		Workshop		Prolotherpy/Solerotherapy
3	Chiropractic-Activator method	G	Hypnotherapy-clinical	0	Psychotherapy
1	Chiropractic-Cranial therapy	0	Hypnotism-nonclinical	0	Qi Gong
V	Chiropractic-Diversified	0	Impairment Ratings	0	Reflexology
V	Chiropractic-Gonstead		Integrative/Holistic Medicine	D	Rehabilitation-Cardiac
1	Chiropractic-Logan		Jin Shin Jytsu/Jin Shi Do	p	
	Chiropractic-Network		Learning Disability Treatment	A	Rehabilitation-Sports
0	Chiropractic-Neuromuscular				Rehabilitation-
	Technique		Lifestyle Healthy Coaching		Stroke & Neurologic
9	Chiropractic-Nonforce	0	Magnetic therapy	0	Rolfing
2	Chiropractic-Pettibon	0	Male Health Programs	0	Senior Health Programs
V	Chiropraetic-Sacro occipital	10/	Manipulation-Chiropractic		Sensory Integration
1	Chiropraetic-Thompson	5	Manipulation-Extremity	0	Shiatsu
	Chiropractic-Touch for Health	0	Manipulation-Naprapathic		Sleep Disorder Assess &Treatment
-		18	Manipulation-Osteopathic	a	Somatic Education
3	Chronic Illness Management	6	Manipulation-Spinal	0	Somatoemotional release
2	Colon Hydrotherapy	0	Manipulation-Visceral	0	Sports medicine
2	Color Therapist	0	Manual Physical Therapy	0	Stop Smcking Program
2	Counseling -Spiritual	0	Massage-Deep Tissue/Myofascial	0	Stress management
	Counseling-Marriage & Family	0	Massage-Infant	0	Structural Integration
2	Counseling-Mental Health		Massage-Lymphatic/Lymphology		Surgical Preparation
3	Counseling-Sexual Problems	a	Massage-Neuro Muscular	0	Tai Chi
	Court of the Court	-		0	Thought Field Counseling
3	Cranial Osteopathic Manipulation	0	Massage-Pregnancy	_	& Therapy
2	Craniosacral therapy	0	Massage-Relaxation	0	TMJ/TMD- Care of Jaw Joi
2	Dance therapy	0	Massage-Sports	0	Tragerwork therapy
_	Detoxification programs	0	Massage-Swedish	0	Trigger point therapy
5	Diet/Supplement Advice	0	Massage-Therapeutic/Medical	0	Weight management
3	Disability Evaluations	0	Meditation	0	Woman's Health Programs
	Dry Hydrotherapy	0	Mind/Body Group Classes	0	Yoga
	//	0	Mindfulness-Based Stress		G
	Electrodermal screening	1	Reduction Classes	B	Zero Balancing
	EMDR-Counseling & Therapy	o	Movement therapy	_	